



## Applying Wavelet Transform for High Speed Shot Boundary Detection & Key Frame Extraction

Prof. Shruti Bhargava  
Department of Electronics and Communication  
TIT, Bhopal, Bhopal, India.  
[bhargava.shruti1987@gmail.com](mailto:bhargava.shruti1987@gmail.com)

Shilpa.R.Jadhav\*  
Department of Electronics and Communication  
TIT, Bhopal, Bhopal, India.  
[jadhav.shilpa05@gmail.com](mailto:jadhav.shilpa05@gmail.com)

Anup.V.Kalaskar  
Department of Electronics and Communication  
TIT, Bhopal, Bhopal, India.  
[Anupkalaskar5@gmail.com](mailto:Anupkalaskar5@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** This paper presents a novel algorithm by applying wavelet transform for high speed boundary detection and key frame extraction. The algorithm differs from conventional methods mainly in the use of image segmentation and image compression. Matching difference between two consecutive frames is computed with different weight. Shot boundaries are detected with automatic threshold. Key frame is extracted by using reference frame-based approach. Experimental results show high speed performance of shot boundary detection by using the proposed algorithms, and key frames represent shot content.

**Keywords:** shot boundary detection;  $x^2$  histogram; automatic threshold; key frame; wavelet transform.

### I. INTRODUCTION

The accurate segmentation of shots in a video sequence is fundamental and an essential functionality for numerous video retrieval and management tasks [1]. Many researchers have proposed algorithms to perform shot boundary detection based on certain features extracted from video frames, such as pixel differences, edge differences, color histograms, etc. Moreover, comparative surveys have also from a learning theory perspective, it is a natural approach to combine such promising features in order to decide whether a boundary exists or not within a given video sequence. Researchers have actively developed different approaches for intelligent video management, including shot transition detection, key frame extraction, video retrieval, etc.

Many approaches used different kinds of features to detect shot boundary, including histogram, shape information, and motion activity [2-5]. Among these approaches, histogram is the popular approach. However, in these histogram-based approaches, pixels' space distribution was neglected. Different frames may have the same histogram [3-5]. In view of this, Change at a divided [6] each frame into  $r$  blocks, and the difference of the corresponding block of consecutive frame was computed by color histogram; the difference  $D(i, i+1)$  of the two frames was obtained by adding up all the blocks' difference; in the meanwhile, the difference  $V(i, i+1)$  between two frames  $i$  and  $i+1$  was measured again without using blocks. Based on  $D(i, i+1)$  and  $V(i, i+1)$  shot boundary was determined. Getting over the drawback of the paper, we propose more efficient algorithms for shot boundary detection and key frame extraction with automatic threshold.

### II. IDEA OF SHOT BOUNDARY DETECTION

#### A. Image Segmentation:

Each frame is divided into blocks with  $m$  row and  $n$  Column. Then the difference of the corresponding blocks between two consecutive frames is computed finally, the final difference of two frames is calculated by adding up all the difference through different weights

#### B. Wavelet Transform:

The linear one-dimensional wavelet transform  $w(a, \tau)$  of a signal function  $s(t) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$  is given by

$$W(a, \tau) = \langle s, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \int s(t) \psi^* \left[ \frac{t-\tau}{a} \right] dt$$

Where the symbol  $L_2(\mathbb{R})$  is the symbol of square integrable functions and  $\psi$  is fixed function called "The Mother Wavelet", well localized both in time and frequency.  $\psi^*$  stands for the complex conjugate of  $\psi$ , the variable  $a$  is the scale parameter and  $\tau$  is the shift parameter of wavelet function. Analysis by wavelet transformation can be viewed as a decomposition of the given frame into sub frame. Wavelet based image compression technique is one of the transform coding method in which the given image is first transformed to a different domain and then quantized. The Embedded zero-tree wavelet coding algorithm exploits these properties to give excellent compression result.

#### C. Matching Difference:

There are six kinds of histogram match [8]. Color histogram was used in computing the matching difference in most literatures. However, through comparing several kinds of histogram matching methods, Nagasaki reached a conclusion that  $x^2$  histogram outperformed others in shot boundary recognition [8]. Hence,  $x^2$  Histograms method is proposed in this method.

**III. ALGORITHMS DESCRIPTION**

Let  $F(k)$  be the  $k$  th frame in the video sequence  $k=1,2,\dots,F_v$  ( $F_v$  denotes the total number of videos) The algorithm of shot boundary detection is described as follows.

**A. Algorithm 1: Shot Boundary Detection:**

**Step 1:**

Partitioning a frame into blocks with  $m$  rows and  $n$  columns, and  $B(i,j,k)$  stands for the block at  $(i,j)$  in  $k$  th frame

**Step 2:**

Computing  $x^2$  histogram matching difference between the corresponding blocks between consecutive frames in video sequence.  $H(i,j,k)$  and  $H(i,j,k+1)$  stands for histogram of block at  $(i,j)$  in the  $k$  th and  $k+1$ th frame respectively. Block's difference is measured by the following equation:

$$D_B(k,k+1,i,j) = \frac{\sum_{l=0}^{L-1} [H(i,j,k,l) - H(i,j,k+1,l)]^2}{H(i,j,k)} \quad (1)$$

where  $L$  is the number of gray in an image;

**Step 3:**

Computing  $x^2$  Histogram difference between two consecutive frames

$$D(k,k+1) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} D_B(k,k+1,i,j) \quad (2)$$

Where  $W_{ij}$  stands for the weight of block at  $(i,j)$ ;

**Step 4:**

Computing threshold automatically:

Computing the mean and standard variance of  $x^2$  Histograms difference over the whole video sequence [7]. Mean and standard variances are defined as follows.

$$MD = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{F_v-1} D(k,k+1)}{F_v - 1} \quad (3)$$

$$STD = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{F_v-1} (D(k,k+1) - MD)^2}{F_v - 1}} \quad (4)$$

**Step 5:**

Shot boundary detection:

Let threshold  $T = MD + a \times STD$ . Shot candidate detection if  $D(i,i+1) \geq T$ , the  $i$ th frame is the end frame of previous shot, and the  $(i+1)$ th frame is the end frame of next shot.

**a. Final Shot Detection:**

Shots may be very long but not much short, because those shots with only several frames cannot be captured by people and they cannot convey a whole message. Usually, a shortest shot should last for 1 to 2.5 s. For the reason of fluency, frame rate is at least 25 fps, (it is 30 fps in most cases), or flash will appear. So, a shot contains at least a minimum number of 30 to 45 frames. In our experiment, video sequences are downs amp led at 10 fps to improve simulation speed. On this condition, the shortest shot should contain 10 to 20 frames. 20 is selected for our experiment. We formulate a "shots merging principle": if a detected shot contain fewer frames than 20 frames, it will be merged into previous shot, or it will be thought as an independent one.

**Definition 1:**

Reference Frame: it is the first frame of each shot; General

Frames: all the frames except for reference frame; "Shot Dynamic Factor"  $\max(i)$ :the maximum  $x^2$  Histogram within shot  $i$  ;

**b. Dynamic Shot and Static Shot:**

A shot will be declared as dynamic shot, if its  $\max(i)$  is bigger than  $MD$  ; otherwise it is shot;  $F_c(k)$  : The  $k$  the frame within the current shot,  $k=1,2,3,\dots,F_{CN}(k)$  ( $F_{CN}(k)$  is the total number of current shot.)The algorithm of key frame extraction is described as follows.

**B. Algorithm 2: Key Frame Extraction:**

**Step 1:**

Computing the difference between all the general frames and reference frame with the above algorithm:

$$D_c(1,k) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} D_{cB}(1,k,i,j), k=2,3,4,\dots,F_{CN}$$

**Step 2:**

Searching for the maximum difference within a shot:

$$\max(i) = \{D_c(1,k)\}_{\max}, k=2,3,4,\dots,F_{CN} \quad (5)$$

**Step 3:**

Determining "ShotType" according to the relationship between  $\max(i)$  and  $MD$ : StaticShot(0) or DynamicShot:

$$ShotType_c = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \max(i) \geq MD \\ 0 & \text{others} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

**Step 4:**

Determining the position of key frame: if  $ShotTypeC=0$ , with respect to the odd number of a shot's frames, the frame in the middle of shot is chose as key frame; in the case of the even number, any one frame between the two frames in the middle of shot can be chose as key frame. If  $ShotTypeC=1$ , the frame with the maximum difference is declared as key frame.

**III. EXPERIMENT RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

These algorithms are tested with shots of different Videos and found result follows:

Table I: Shot Boundary Output

| Sr.no. | Frame no    | Block difference value |
|--------|-------------|------------------------|
| 1      | Frame no.1  | 2.252734e+005          |
| 2      | Frame no.2  | 3.029871e+005          |
| 3      | Frame no.3  | 1.740808e+005          |
| 4      | Frame no.4  | :4.918616e+005         |
| 5      | Frame no.5  | 2.299742e+005          |
| 6      | Frame no.6  | 9.655472e+005          |
| 7      | Frame no.7  | 2.420272e+005          |
| 8      | Frame no.8  | 3.452967e+005          |
| 9      | Frame no.9  | 2.035338e+005          |
| 10     | Frame no.10 | 2.138207e+005          |
| 11     | Frame no.11 | 2.306950e+005          |

|               |                 |                               |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| 12            | Frame no.12     | 1.043614e+006                 |
| 13            | Frame no.13     | 1.545241e+006                 |
| 14            | Frame no.14     | 9.570712e+005                 |
| 15            | Frame no.15     | 2.300548e+006                 |
| 16            | Frame no.16     | 2.232479e+006                 |
| 17            | Frame no.17     | 1.643245e+006                 |
| 18            | Frame no.18     | 3.115060e+007                 |
| 19            | Frame no.19     | 2.238738e+006                 |
| 20            | Frame no.20     | 4.988129e+006                 |
| 21            | Frame no.21     | 3.637578e+007                 |
| 22            | Frame no.22     | 9.083900e+006                 |
| <b>Sr.no.</b> | <b>Frame no</b> | <b>Block difference value</b> |
| 23            | Frame no.23     | 4.059998e+006                 |
| 24            | Frame no.24     | 6.239042e+006                 |
| 25            | Frame no.25     | 3.184043e+006                 |
| 26            | Frame no.26     | 2.128465e+007                 |
| 27            | Frame no.27     | 4.234818e+006                 |
| 28            | Frame no.28     | 1.517516e+007                 |
| 29            | Frame no.29     | 9.038847e+005                 |
| 30            | Frame no.30     | 9.291212e+005                 |
| 31            | Frame no.31     | 1.816369e+006                 |
| 32            | Frame no.32     | 1.377436e+006                 |
| 33            | Frame no.33     | 2.366793e+006                 |
| 34            | Frame no.34     | 2.499197e+006                 |
| 35            | Frame no.35     | 4.265971e+006                 |
| 36            | Frame no.36     | 4.664410e+006                 |
| 37            | Frame no.37     | 1.645068e+007                 |
| 38            | Frame no.38     | :2.491203e+007                |
| 39            | Frame no.39     | 2.655367e+007                 |
| 40            | Frame no.40     | 3.462600e+007                 |
| 41            | Frame no.41     | 6.263576e+006                 |
| 42            | Frame no.42     | 3.812128e+007                 |
| 43            | Frame no.43     | 3.823555e+007                 |
| 44            | Frame no.44     | 3.300014e+007                 |
| 45            | Frame no.45     | 3.445289e+007                 |
| 46            | Frame no.46     | 3.606135e+007                 |
| 47            | Frame no.47     | :1.312662e+006                |

|               |                 |                               |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| 48            | Frame no.48     | 2.046394e+006                 |
| 49            | Frame no.49     | 2.479815e+006                 |
| 50            | Frame no.50     | 3.438300e+006                 |
| <b>Sr.no.</b> | <b>Frame no</b> | <b>Block difference value</b> |
| 51            | Frame no.51     | :1.741411e+006                |
| 52            | Frame no.52     | 1.660074e+006                 |
| 53            | Frame no.53     | 1.407927e+006                 |
| 54            | Frame no.54     | 1.806781e+006                 |
| 55            | Frame no.55     | 1.556794e+006                 |
| 56            | Frame no.56     | 6.257250e+005                 |
| 57            | Frame no.57     | 4.741886e+005                 |
| 58            | Frame no.58     | 8.067111e+005                 |
| 59            | Frame no.59     | 8.242188e+005                 |
| 60            | Frame no.60     | 1.019812e+006                 |
| 61            | Frame no.61     | 1.279052e+006                 |
| 62            | Frame no.62     | 1.089395e+006                 |
| 63            | Frame no.63     | :1.080301e+006                |
| 64            | Frame no.64     | 1.494956e+006                 |
| 65            | Frame no.65     | 1.529653e+007                 |
| 66            | Frame no.66     | 2.561012e+006                 |
| 67            | Frame no.67     | 3.227772e+006                 |
| 68            | Frame no.68     | 3.426323e+006                 |
| 69            | Frame no.69     | 4.467072e+006                 |
| 70            | Frame no.70     | 5.090183e+006                 |
| 71            | Frame no.71     | :6.058270e+006                |
| 72            | Frame no.72     | :4.459274e+006                |
| 73            | Frame no.73     | 1.645334e+007                 |
| 74            | Frame no.74     | 2.056309e+007                 |
| 75            | Frame no.75     | 6.206481e+006                 |
| 76            | Frame no.76     | 2.683221e+007                 |
| 77            | Frame no.77     | 3.083760e+007                 |
| 78            | Frame no.78     | 2.422882e+007                 |
| <b>Sr.no.</b> | <b>Frame no</b> | <b>Block difference value</b> |
| 79            | Frame no.79     | 3.405632e+006                 |
| 80            | Frame no.80     | 2.789725e+007                 |
| 81            | Frame no.81     | :3.033992e+007                |
| 82            | Frame no.82     | 9.515336e+005                 |

|   |              |                |
|---|--------------|----------------|
| 83  | Frame no.83  | 9.183184e+005  |
| 84  | Frame no.84  | 6.327894e+005  |
| 85  | Frame no.85  | 7.926676e+005  |
| 86  | Frame no.86  | 7.424013e+005  |
| 87  | Frame no.87  | 7.223795e+005  |
| 88  | Frame no.88  | :7.930851e+005 |
| 89  | Frame no.89  | :1.136819e+006 |
| 90  | Frame no.90  | 2.802542e+006  |
| 91  | Frame no.91  | 3.241871e+006  |
| 92  | Frame no.92  | 3.743190e+006  |
| 93  | Frame no.93  | :2.640398e+006 |
| 94  | Frame no.94  | 2.253193e+006  |
| 95  | Frame no.95  | 3.129525e+006  |
| 96  | Frame no.96  | 1.731097e+007  |
| 97  | Frame no.97  | 6.908658e+006  |
| 98  | Frame no.98  | 2.756800e+007  |
| 99  | Frame no.99  | 6.642552e+006  |
| 100   | Frame.no.100 | 1.704278e+007  |
| 101   | Frame no.101 | 1.828782e+006  |
| 102   | Frame no.102 | :4.445243e+007 |
| 103   | Frame no.103 | 2.719461e+007  |
| 104   | Frame no.104 | 2.154940e+006  |
| 105   | Frame no.105 | 7.612865e+005  |
| <b>Sr.no.      Frame no      Block difference value</b> |              |                |
| 106   | Frame no.106 | 9.674090e+005  |
| 107   | Frame no.107 | 6.521334e+005  |
| 108   | Frame no.108 | 1.169921e+006  |
| 109   | Frame no.109 | 1.626765e+006  |
| 110   | Frame no.110 | 1.856963e+006  |
| 111   | Frame no.111 | 1.327165e+006  |
| 112   | Frame no.112 | 1.612671e+006  |
| 113   | Frame no.113 | 2.237268e+006  |
| 114   | Fram no.114  | 3.684151e+006  |
| 115   | Frame no.115 | 3.752450e+006  |
| 116   | Frame no.116 | 1.805379e+006  |
| 117   | Frame no.117 | :1.434608e+006 |

|     |              |               |
|-----|--------------|---------------|
| 118 | Frame no.118 | 1.498452e+006 |
| 119 | Frame no.119 | 1.359151e+006 |

**Mean:7711831.886797,**  
**Std Deviation:11187076.248366**  
**Threshold:18898908.135163**



**After Determining “Shot Type” according to the relationship between max(i) and MD.Extracted Key Frames are follows**

Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 18 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 21 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 26 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 38 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 39 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 40 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 42 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 43 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 44 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 45 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 46 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 74 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 76 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 77 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 78 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 80 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 81 has a block difference threshold  
 Writing key frame to file  
 Frame 98 has a block difference threshold  
**This is dynamic shot**

#### IV. CONCLUSION

We proposed new model to improve the high speed shot boundary detection and key frame extraction. We also compress the image by using wavelet transform. We first segmented each frame, and then employed different weights to compute the matching difference and threshold. By using the automatic threshold, we detected boundary, and by using image compression image get compress. Next, reference frame-based approach was provided to extract key frame. Our experimental results show that the proposed boundary detection algorithm can provide accurate and reduced boundary result with image compression. The extracted key frames can satisfactorily represent the content of video. In order to further improve accuracy and clarity.

#### V. REFERENCES

- [1]. L. Zhu, M. S. Guido, and Aggelos K.K., "MIMAX optimal video summarization", IEEE Transaction on Circuits and System for Video Technology, Vol.15, No.10, October 2005, pp. 1245-1256 .
- [2]. A. Hanjalic, "Shot-boundary detection: Unraveled and resolved?", IEEE Transaction on Circuits and System for Video Technology., Vol.12, No.2, February, 2002, pp. 90-105
- [3]. Z. Cernekova, I. Pitas, and C. Nikou, "Information theory-based shot cut/fade detection and video summarization", IEEE Transaction on Circuits and System for Video Technology, Vol.16, No.1 January 2006, pp. 82-91
- [4]. N. Babaguchi, Y. Kawai, T. Ogura, and T. Kitahashi "Personalized abstraction of broadcasted American football video by highlight selection", IEEE Transaction On Multimedia, Vol.6, No.4, August 2004, pp. 575-586
- [5]. A. Hanjalic, "Multimodal approach to measuring excitement in video", Proceedings of International Conference on Multimedia and Expo ICME 03[C] Vol.2, July 2003, pp. 289-292
- [6]. Y. Chen g, X. Yang, and D. Xu, "A method for shot boundary detection with automatic threshold", TENCON'02. Proceedings. 2002 IEEE Region 10 conference on Computers, Communication, Control and Power Engineering[C], Vol.1, October 2002
- [7]. Y. Zhuang, Y. Rui, T.S. Huang, and S. Mehrotra "Adaptive key frame extraction using unsupervised clustering", Proceeding. ICIIP'98[C], Chicago, IL, 1998, Vol.1, pp. 866-870.
- [8]. D.J. He, N. Geng, "Digital image processing", Professor of Indian University. July, 2003