



FIXED POINT RESULTS IN RANDOM UNIFORM SPACE

Arjun kumar Mehra

Research Scholar, Rani Durgavati Vishwavidyalaya,
Jabalpur,(MP),India

Manoj Kumar Shukla

Department of Mathematics, Institute for Excellence in Higher
Education, Bhopal (MP) manojshukla_10@yahoo.com

Abstract : In this paper we prove some random common fixed point theorems for pair of weakly commutative mapping and semi compatible mappings with rational expression and the notation of E-distance in random uniform space.
MATHEMATICAL CLASSIFICATION(2000) – 49H10, 54H25.

Keywords : Random Uniform spaces, Common fixed point , E & A-Distance, Contractive maps, Weakly commutative maps, Semi Compatible maps.

1 INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Aamri and Moutawakil [1] introduce the concept of A-distance and E-distance in uniform space. Cho. Et. al. [2] introduced the notion of semi compatible maps in a d- topological space and Jungck & Rhoades [3] termed a pair of self maps to be coincidentally commuting or equivalently weak compatible if they commute at

their coincidence points. Followed by the latest work of V.B. Dhagat [4] extended the results for weakly compatible. Here we have considered random uniform space with random uniformity. With the help of these A-distance and E-distance we prove common fixed point theorems for weak commutative mappings and semi compatible mappings.

Definition 1.1. Let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space with a sigma algebra of subsets of Ω and M a non-empty subset of a metric space $X = (X, d)$. Let 2^M be the family of all non-empty subset of M and $\mathcal{C}(M)$ the family of non-empty closed subsets of M . A mapping $G: \Omega \rightarrow 2^M$ is called measurable if, for each open subset U of M ,

$$G^{-1}(U) \in \Sigma, \text{ where } G^{-1}(U) = \{\omega \in \Omega : G(\omega) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Definition 1.2. A mapping $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow M$ is called a measurable selector of a measurable mapping $G: \Omega \rightarrow 2^M$ if ξ is measurable and $\xi(\omega) \in G(\omega)$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$.

Definition 1.3. A mapping $T: \Omega \times M \rightarrow X$ is said to be a random operator if, for each fixed $x \in M$, $T(\cdot, x): \Omega \rightarrow X$ is measurable.

Definition 1.4. A measurable mapping $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow M$ is a random fixed point of random operator $T: \Omega \times M \rightarrow X$ if $\xi(\omega) \in (T(\omega))$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$.

Random Uniform space $(X, \vartheta(\omega))$ a non-empty set X endowed of random uniformity $\vartheta(\omega)$, the latter being a special kind of filter on $X \times X$, all whose elements contain the random diagonal $\Delta(\omega) = \{(x(\omega), x(\omega))/x(\omega) \in X\}$, If $V(\omega) \in \vartheta(\omega)$ and $(x(\omega), y(\omega)) \in V(\omega)$, $x(\omega)$ and $y(\omega)$ are said to be $V(\omega)$ -close and a sequence $(x^n(\omega))$ in X is a Cauchy sequence for $\vartheta(\omega)$ if for any $V(\omega) \in \vartheta(\omega)$, there exists $N \geq 1$ such that $x^n(\omega)$ and $x^m(\omega)$ are $V(\omega)$ -close for $n, m \geq N$. An uniformly $\vartheta(\omega)$ defines a unique topology $T(\vartheta(\omega))$ on $X \times \Omega$ for which the neighborhoods of $x(\omega) \in X \times \Omega$ are the sets $V(x(\omega)) = \{y(\omega) \in X \times \Omega / (x(\omega), y(\omega)) \in V(\omega)\}$ when $V(\omega)$ runs over $\vartheta(\omega)$ for each ω . A uniform space $(X \times \Omega, \vartheta(\omega))$ is said to be Hausdorff if and only if the intersection of all the $V(\omega) \in \vartheta(\omega)$ reduces to the diagonal $\Delta(\omega)$ of $X \times \Omega$ i.e., if $(x(\omega), y(\omega)) \in V(\omega)$ for all $V(\omega) \in \vartheta(\omega)$ for each ω implies $x(\omega) = y(\omega)$. This guarantees the uniqueness of limits of sequences. $V(\omega) \in \vartheta(\omega)$ is said to be symmetrical if $V(\omega) = V^{-1}(\omega) = \{(y(\omega), x(\omega))/ (x(\omega), y(\omega)) \in W(\omega)\}$ then $x(\omega)$ and $y(\omega)$ are both $W(\omega)$ and $V(\omega)$ -close, then for our purpose, we assume that each $V(\omega) \in \vartheta(\omega)$ is symmetrical. When topological concepts are mentioned in the context of a uniform space $(X, (\omega), \vartheta(\omega))$, they always refer to the topological space $(X, (\omega), T(\vartheta(\omega)))$.

Definition 1.5. Let $(X \times \Omega, \vartheta(\omega))$ be a random uniform space. A function $p_\omega: (X \times \Omega) \times (X \times \Omega) \rightarrow R^+$ is said to be an A-distance if for any $V(\omega) \in \vartheta(\omega)$ there exists $\delta_\omega > 0$ such that if $p(z(\omega), x(\omega)) \leq \delta_\omega$ and $p(z(\omega), y(\omega)) \leq \delta_\omega$ for some $z(\omega) \in X \times \Omega$, then $(x(\omega), y(\omega)) \in V(\omega)$.

Definition 1.6. Let $(X \times \Omega, \vartheta(\omega))$ be a random uniform space. A function

$p_\omega: (X \times \Omega) \times (X \times \Omega) \rightarrow R^+$ is said to be an E - distance if

(p₁) p_ω is an A - distance,

(p₂) $p_\omega(x(\omega), y(\omega)) \leq p_\omega(x(\omega), z(\omega)) + p_\omega(z(\omega), y(\omega)), \forall x(\omega), y(\omega), z(\omega) \in X \times \Omega$

Definition 1.7. Let $(X \times \Omega, \vartheta(\omega))$ be random uniform space and p_ω be A - distance on $X \times \Omega$.

(I) X is S^* complete if for every p_ω -Cauchy sequence $\{x_n(\omega)\}$, there exists $x(\omega)$ in $X \times \Omega$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_\omega(x_n(\omega), x(\omega)) = 0.$$

(II) X is p_ω -Cauchy complete if for every p_ω -Cauchy sequence $\{x_n(\omega)\}$, there exists $x(\omega)$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(\omega) = x(\omega) \text{ with respect to } T(\vartheta(\omega)).$$

(III) $f: X \rightarrow X$ is p - continuous if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_\omega(x_n, x) = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_\omega(f(x_n(\omega)), f(x(\omega))) = 0.$$

(IV) $f: X \rightarrow X$ is $T(\vartheta(\omega))$ -continuous if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(\omega) = x(\omega)$ with respect to $T(\vartheta(\omega))$ implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n(\omega)) = f(x(\omega))$. with respect to $T(\vartheta(\omega))$.

(V) $X \times \Omega$ is said to be p -bounded if

$$\delta p_\omega(X \times \Omega) = \sup_{(x(\omega), y(\omega)) \in X \times \Omega} p_\omega(x(\omega), y(\omega)) < \infty.$$

Definition 1.8: Let $(X \times \Omega, \vartheta(\omega))$ be random uniform space and p_ω be an E - distance on X . Two self maps S and T on are said to be semi compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_\omega(STx_n(\omega), Tx(\omega)) = 0,$$

Whenever

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n(\omega) = x(\omega).$$

Definition 1. 9: Let $(X \times \Omega, \vartheta(\omega))$ be random uniform space and p_ω be an $E - distance$ on X . Two self maps S and T on are said to be weak commutative if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_\omega(STx(\omega), TSx(\omega)) = 0,$$

Whenever

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n(\omega) = x(\omega).$$

Lemma 1. 1: Let $(X \times \Omega, \vartheta(\omega))$ be a random Hausdorff uniform space and p be an $A - distance$ on X . Let $\{x_n(\omega)\}, \{y_n(\omega)\}$ be arbitrary sequence in $X \times \Omega$ and $\{\alpha(\omega)_n\}$ and $\{\beta(\omega)_n\}$ be sequence in R^+ and converging to 0.

Then for $x(\omega), y(\omega), z(\omega) \in X \times \Omega$, the following holds:

(a) If $p_\omega(x(\omega)_n, y(\omega)) \leq \alpha(\omega)_n$ and $p_\omega(x(\omega)_n, z(\omega)) \leq \beta(\omega)_n$ for all $n \in N$, then $y(\omega) = z(\omega)$, In particular, if $p_\omega(x(\omega), y(\omega)) = 0$ and $p_\omega(x(\omega), z(\omega)) = 0$, then $y(\omega) = z(\omega)$.

(b) If $p_\omega(x(\omega)_n, y(\omega)_n) \leq \alpha(\omega)_n$ and $p_\omega(x_n(\omega), z(\omega)) \leq \beta(\omega)_n$ for all $n \in N$, then $\{y(\omega)_n\}$ converges to $z(\omega)$.

(c) If $p_\omega(x(\omega)_n, x(\omega)_m) \leq \alpha(\omega)_n$ for all $m > n$, then $\{x(\omega)_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(X \times \Omega, \vartheta(\omega))$.

We also involve a non-decreasing function Ψ on R^+ such that

$\Psi(t) < t$, for $t > 0$ and $\Psi^n(t) = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Main Results

Theorem 2. 1: Let $(X \times \Omega, \vartheta(\omega))$ be a random Hausdorff space and p_ω be an $E - distance$ on $p - cauchy$ complete space X . Let A, B, S and T be self mapping of $X \times \Omega$ satisfying that

(2.1.1) $A(X \times \Omega) \subseteq T(X \times \Omega)$ and $B(X \times \Omega) \subseteq S(X \times \Omega)$;

(2.1.2) The pair (A, S) is semi compatible and (B, T) is weak commutative;

(2.1.3) One of A, B, S and T is discontinuous;

(2.1.4) $p_\omega(A(x(\omega)), B(y(\omega))) \leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(S(x(\omega)), T(y(\omega))),$

$p_\omega(S(x(\omega)), A(x(\omega))) + p_\omega(T(y(\omega)), A(x(\omega))),$

$p_\omega(T(y(\omega)), B(y(\omega))) + p_\omega(T(y(\omega)), A(x(\omega))), p_\omega(T(y(\omega)), A(x(\omega)))\}].$

Then A, B, S and T have unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ be any point, As $A(X \times \Omega) \subseteq T(X \times \Omega)$ and $B(X \times \Omega) \subseteq S(X \times \Omega)$, there exists $x_1(\omega)$ and $x_2(\omega)$ in $X \times \Omega$ such that, $A(x(\omega)_0) = T(x(\omega)_1)$ and $B(x(\omega)_1) = S(x(\omega)_2)$.

In general we can construct sequence $\{y(\omega)_n\}$ in $X \times \Omega$ such that for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$y(\omega)_{2n+1} = A(x(\omega)_{2n}) = T(x(\omega)_{2n+1})$ and $y(\omega)_{2n+2} = B(x(\omega)_{2n+1}) = S(x(\omega)_{2n+2})$,

Now by (2.1.4) $p(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, y(\omega)_{2n+2}) = p(A(x(\omega)_{2n})B(x(\omega)_{2n+1}))$

$\leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(S(x(\omega)_{2n}), T(x(\omega)_{2n+1})),$

$p_\omega(S(x(\omega)_{2n}), A(x(\omega)_{2n})) + p_\omega(T(x(\omega)_{2n+1}), A(x(\omega)_{2n})),$

$p_\omega(T(x(\omega)_{2n+1}), B(x(\omega)_{2n+1})) + p_\omega(T(x(\omega)_{2n+1}), A(x(\omega)_{2n})),$

$p_\omega(T(x(\omega)_{2n+1}), A(x(\omega)_{2n}))\}].$

$= \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n}, (\omega)_{2n+1}), p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n}, (\omega)_{2n+1}) + p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, (\omega)_{2n+1}),$

$p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, (\omega)_{2n+2}) + p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, y(\omega)_{2n+1}),$

$\leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n}, (\omega)_{2n+1}), p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n}, (\omega)_{2n+1}), p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, (\omega)_{2n+1}), p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, (\omega)_{2n+2}), 0\}].$

$p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, (\omega)_{2n+2}) \leq \Psi[p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n}, (\omega)_{2n+1})].$

Similarly

$p_\omega(y(\omega)_n, (\omega)_{n+1}) \leq \Psi[p_\omega(y(\omega)_{n-1}, (\omega)_{n+1})].$

$\Rightarrow p_\omega(y(\omega)_n, (\omega)_{n+p}) \leq \Psi^n[\delta_p(X)]$

Where $\delta_{p_\omega}(X) = \sup\{p_\omega(x(\omega), y(\omega))/x(\omega), y(\omega) \in X \times \Omega\}$.

Then by Ψ_2 and lemma 1.1(c) we have $\{y(\omega)_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in $X \times \Omega$ and $X \times \Omega$ is S^* complete therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_\omega(y(\omega)_n, z(\omega)) = 0$. By lemma 1.1(b) there exists a sequences $\alpha\omega n$ and $\beta\omega n$ which converging to 0.

There the subsequences $A(x(\omega)_{2n}) \rightarrow T(x(\omega)_{2n+1})$, $B(x(\omega)_{2n+1})$ and $S(x(\omega)_{2n+2})$ also converge to $z(\omega)$.

Case I. Let S is continuous then $SA(x(\omega)_{2n}) \rightarrow Sz(\omega)$ and $SS(x(\omega)_{2n}) \rightarrow Sz(\omega)$. By the semi compatibility of the pair (A, S) gives $AS(x(\omega)_{2n}) \rightarrow Sz(\omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By (6.3.1.4)

$p_\omega(AS(x(\omega)_{2n}), B(x(\omega)_{2n+1})) \leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(SS(x(\omega)_{2n}), T(x(\omega)_{2n+1})),$

$p_\omega(SS(x(\omega)_{2n}), AS(x(\omega)_{2n})) + p_\omega(T(x(\omega)_{2n+1}), AS(x(\omega)_{2n})),$

$p_\omega(T(x(\omega)_{2n+1}), B(x(\omega)_{2n+1})) + p_\omega(T(x(\omega)_{2n+1}), AS(x(\omega)_{2n})),$

$p_\omega(T(x(\omega)_{2n+1}), AS(x(\omega)_{2n}))\}].$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$p_\omega(S(z(\omega)), z(\omega)) \leq \Psi[\max\{S(z(\omega)), z(\omega)\},$

$p_\omega(z(\omega), z(\omega)) + p_\omega(z(\omega), S(z(\omega))),$

$p_\omega(z(\omega), S(z(\omega)))\} < p_\omega(S(z(\omega)), z(\omega)).$

$\Rightarrow p_\omega(S(z(\omega)), z(\omega)) = 0.$

Again, put $x(\omega) = z(\omega)$ and $y(\omega) = x(\omega)_{2n+1}$ in (2.1.4)

$p_\omega(A(z(\omega)), B(x(\omega)_{2n+1})) \leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(S(z(\omega)), T(x(\omega)_{2n+1})),$

$p_\omega(S(z(\omega)), A(z(\omega))) + p_\omega(T(x(\omega)_{2n+1}), A(z(\omega))),$

$p_\omega(T(x(\omega)_{2n+1}), B(x(\omega)_{2n+1})) + p_\omega(T(x(\omega)_{2n+1}), A(z(\omega))),$

$p_\omega(T(x(\omega)_{2n+1}), A(z(\omega)))\}].$

$$p_\omega(A(z(\omega)), z(\omega)) \leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(S(z(\omega)), z(\omega)), p_\omega(S(z(\omega)), A(z(\omega))) + p_\omega(z(\omega), A(z(\omega))), p_\omega(z(\omega), z(\omega)) + p_\omega(z(\omega), A(z(\omega))), p_\omega(z(\omega), A(z(\omega)))\}]$$

$$p_\omega(A(z(\omega)), z(\omega)) \leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(z(\omega), z(\omega)), p_\omega(S(z(\omega)), z(\omega)) + p_\omega(z(\omega), A(z(\omega))), p_\omega(z(\omega), z(\omega)), p_\omega(z(\omega), A(z(\omega)))\}]$$

$$\Rightarrow p_\omega(A(z(\omega)), z(\omega)) = 0.$$

Now $p_\omega(S(z(\omega)), z(\omega)) = 0$ and $p_\omega(A(z(\omega)), z(\omega)) = 0$.

Hence $Sz(\omega) = Az(\omega)$.

$$p_\omega(z(\omega), z(\omega)) = p_\omega(z(\omega), Az(\omega)) + p_\omega(Az(\omega), z(\omega)).$$

$$\Rightarrow p_\omega(z(\omega), z(\omega)) = 0 \text{ and } p_\omega(S(z(\omega)), z(\omega)) = 0.$$

Hence $z(\omega) = Sz(\omega) = Az(\omega)$.

Case II. Since $A(X \times \Omega) \subseteq T(X \times \Omega)$, therefore there exists $u(\omega)$ in $X \times \Omega$ such that $Az(\omega) = Tu(\omega)$.

Put $x(\omega) = x(\omega)_{2n}$ and $x(\omega) = u(\omega)$ in (2.1.4)

$$p_\omega(A(x(\omega)_{2n}), B(u(\omega))) \leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(S(x(\omega)_{2n}), T(u(\omega))), p_\omega(S(x(\omega)_{2n}), A(x(\omega)_{2n})) + p_\omega(T(u(\omega)), A(x(\omega)_{2n})), p_\omega(T(u(\omega)), B(u(\omega))) + p_\omega(T(u(\omega)), A(x(\omega)_{2n})), p_\omega(T(u(\omega)), A(x(\omega)_{2n}))\}]$$

$$p_\omega(z(\omega), B(u(\omega))) \leq \Psi[p_\omega(z(\omega), z(\omega)), p_\omega(z(\omega), z(\omega)) + p_\omega(z(\omega), z(\omega)), p_\omega(z(\omega), B(u(\omega))) + p_\omega(z(\omega), z(\omega)), p_\omega(z(\omega), z(\omega))\}]$$

$$< p_\omega(z(\omega), B(u(\omega)))$$

$$\Rightarrow p_\omega(z(\omega), B(u(\omega))) = 0. \text{ and so } A(z(\omega)), z(\omega) = 0$$

$$\text{i.e. } p(T(u(\omega)), z(\omega)) = 0 \Rightarrow Tu(\omega) = Bu(\omega).$$

$$BTu(\omega) = TBU(\omega) \Rightarrow Bz(\omega) = Tz(\omega).$$

Case III. Put $x(\omega) = z(\omega)$ and $y(\omega) = z(\omega)$. In (2.1.4), we get

$$p_\omega(A(z(\omega)), B(z(\omega))) \leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(S(z(\omega)), T(z(\omega))), p_\omega(S(z(\omega)), A(z(\omega))), p_\omega(T(z(\omega)), B(z(\omega))), p_\omega(T(z(\omega)), A(z(\omega)))\}]$$

$$p_\omega(A(z(\omega)), B(z(\omega))) \leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(A(z(\omega)), T(z(\omega))), p_\omega(z(\omega), z(\omega)), p_\omega(T(z(\omega)), B(z(\omega))), p_\omega(T(z(\omega)), z(\omega))\}]$$

$$p_\omega(z(\omega), B(z(\omega))) \leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(z(\omega), B(z(\omega))), p_\omega(z(\omega), z(\omega)) + p_\omega(B(z(\omega)), z(\omega)), p_\omega(T(z(\omega)), B(z(\omega))) + p_\omega(B(z(\omega)), z(\omega))\}]$$

$$< (z(\omega), B(z(\omega)))$$

$$\Rightarrow p_\omega(z(\omega), B(z(\omega))) = 0 \text{ and already } p_\omega(z(\omega), z(\omega)) = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow Bz(\omega) = z(\omega). \text{ Hence } Az(\omega) = Bz(\omega) = Sz(\omega) = Tz(\omega).$$

Uniqueness: Let $z_1(\omega)$ and $z_1(\omega)$ are two common fixed point of A, S, B and T in $X \times \Omega$. Then $Az_1(\omega) = Bz_1(\omega) = Sz_1(\omega) = Tz_1(\omega)$ and $Az_1(\omega) = Bz_1(\omega) = Sz_1(\omega) = Tz_1(\omega)$.

Now by (2.1.4)

$$p_\omega(A(z(\omega)_1), B(z(\omega)_2)) \leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(S(z(\omega)_1), T(z(\omega)_2)), p_\omega(S(z(\omega)_1), A(z(\omega)_1)) + p_\omega(S(z(\omega)_2), A(z(\omega)_1)), p_\omega(T(z(\omega)_2), B(z(\omega)_2)) + p_\omega(S(z(\omega)_2), A(z(\omega)_1)), p_\omega(T(z(\omega)_2), B(z(\omega)_1))\}]$$

$$p_\omega(z(\omega)_1, z(\omega)_2) \leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(z(\omega)_1, z(\omega)_2), p_\omega(z(\omega)_1, z(\omega)_1) + p_\omega(z(\omega)_2, z(\omega)_1), p_\omega(z(\omega)_2, z(\omega)_2) + p_\omega(z(\omega)_2, z(\omega)_1), p_\omega(z(\omega)_2, z(\omega)_1)\}]$$

$$< p_\omega(z(\omega)_1, z(\omega)_1)$$

$$p_\omega(z(\omega)_1, z(\omega)_1) = 0.$$

$$\text{Again } p_\omega(z(\omega)_1, z(\omega)_1) \leq p_\omega(z(\omega)_1, z(\omega)_2) + p_\omega(z(\omega)_2, z(\omega)_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow p_\omega(z(\omega)_1, z(\omega)_1) = 0.$$

Hence $z(\omega)_1 = z(\omega)_2$.

Theorem 2.2 : Let $(X \times \Omega, \vartheta(\omega))$ be a random Hausdorff uniform space and p_ω be an E – distance on p_ω -cauchy complete space X . Let A, B, S and T be self mapping of $X \times \Omega$ satisfying that

(I) $A(X \times \Omega) \subseteq T(X \times \Omega)$ and $B(X \times \Omega) \subseteq S(X \times \Omega)$;

(II) The pair (A, S) is semi compatible and (B, T) is weak commutative;

(III) One of A, B, S and T is discontinuous;

(IV) $p_\omega(A(x(\omega)), B(y(\omega))) \leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(S(x(\omega)), T(y(\omega))),$

$p_\omega(S(x(\omega)), A(x(\omega))) + p_\omega(T(y(\omega)), A(x(\omega))),$

$p_\omega(T(y(\omega)), B(y(\omega))) + p_\omega(T(y(\omega)), A(x(\omega))),$

$1/2\{p_\omega(S(x(\omega)), B(y(\omega))) + p_\omega(T(y(\omega)), A(x(\omega)))\}].$

Then A, B, S and T have unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let $x(\omega)_0 \in X \times \Omega$ be any point. As $A(X \times \Omega) \subseteq T(X \times \Omega)$ and $B(X \times \Omega) \subseteq S(X \times \Omega)$, There exists x_1 and $x(\omega)_2$ in $X \times \Omega$ such that $A(x(\omega)_0) = T(x(\omega)_1)$ and $B(x(\omega)_1) = S(x(\omega)_2)$.

In general we can construct sequence $\{y(\omega)_n\}$ in $X \times \Omega$ such that for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$y(\omega)_{n+1} = A(x(\omega)_{2n}) = T(x(\omega)_{2n+1})$ and $y(\omega)_{2n+2} = B(x(\omega)_{2n+1}) = S(x(\omega)_{2n+2})$,

Now by (2.1.4)

$p(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, y(\omega)_{2n+2}) = pA(x(\omega)_{2n})B(x(\omega)_{2n+1})$

$\leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(S(x(\omega)_{2n}), T(x(\omega)_{2n+1})),$

$p_\omega(S(x(\omega)_{2n}), A(x(\omega)_{2n})) + p_\omega(T(x(\omega)_{2n+1}), A(x(\omega)_{2n})),$

$p_\omega(T(x(\omega)_{2n+1}), B(x(\omega)_{2n+1})) + p_\omega(T(x(\omega)_{2n+1}), A(x(\omega)_{2n})),$

$1/2\{p_\omega(S(x(\omega)_{2n}), B(x(\omega)_{2n+1})) + p_\omega(T(x(\omega)_{2n+1}), A(x(\omega)_{2n}))\}]]$

$= \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n}, y(\omega)_{2n+1}), p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n}, y(\omega)_{2n+1}) + p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, y(\omega)_{2n+1}),$

$p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, y(\omega)_{2n+2}) + p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, y(\omega)_{2n+1}),$

$1/2\{p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n}, y(\omega)_{2n+2}) + p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, y(\omega)_{2n+1})\}]]$

Since p_ω be an E - distance therefore by p_2 of def. 1.2, we have

$p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n}, y(\omega)_{2n+2}) \leq p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n}, y(\omega)_{2n+1}) + p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, y(\omega)_{2n+2})$.

Hence $p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, y(\omega)_{2n+2}) = p_\omega(A(x(\omega)_{2n})B(y(\omega)_{2n+1}))$

$\leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n}, y(\omega)_{2n+1}), p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n}, y(\omega)_{2n+1}) + p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, y(\omega)_{2n+1}),$

$p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, y(\omega)_{2n+2}) + p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, y(\omega)_{2n+1}),$

$1/2\{p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n}, y(\omega)_{2n+1}) + p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, y(\omega)_{2n+2}) + p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, y(\omega)_{2n+1})\}]]$

$p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n+1}, y(\omega)_{2n+2}) \leq \Psi[p_\omega(y(\omega)_{2n}, y(\omega)_{2n+1})]$.

Similarly $p_\omega(y(\omega)_n, y(\omega)_{n+1}) \leq \Psi[p_\omega(y(\omega)_{n-1}, y(\omega)_{n+1})]$.

$\Rightarrow p_\omega(y(\omega)_n, y(\omega)_{n+p}) \leq \Psi^n[\delta_{p_\omega}(X)]$

Where $\delta_{p_\omega}(X) = \sup\{p(x(\omega), y(\omega))/x(\omega), y(\omega) \in X \times \Omega\}$.

Then by Ψ_2 and lemma 1.1(c) we have $\{y(\omega)_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X .

Remaining proof is same as in theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.3: Let $(X \times \Omega, \vartheta(\omega))$ be a random Hausdorff uniform space and p be an E - distance on p - cauchy complete X . Let A^r, B^r, S^s and T^s are sequences defined on X such that

(I) $A^r(X \times \Omega) \subseteq T^s(X \times \Omega)$ and $B^r(X \times \Omega) \subseteq S^s(X \times \Omega)$;

(II) The pair (A^r, S^s) is semi compatible and (B^r, T^s) is weak commutative;

(III) one of A^r, B^r, S^s and T^s is continuous;

(IV) $p_\omega(A^r(x(\omega)), B^r(y(\omega))) \leq \Psi[\max\{p_\omega(S^s(x(\omega)), T^s(y(\omega))),$

$p_\omega(S^s(x(\omega)), A^r(x(\omega))) + p_\omega(T^s(y(\omega)), A^r(x(\omega))),$

$p_\omega(T^s(y(\omega)), B^r(y(\omega))) + p_\omega(T^s(y(\omega)), A^r(x(\omega))),$

$1/2\{p_\omega(S^s(x), B^r(y)) + p_\omega(T^s(y(\omega)), A^r(x(\omega)))\}]]$.

Where r and s are positive integers. Then A^r, B^r, S^s and T^s have unique common fixed point.

Proof: Same as theorem 2.2.

Examples

Examples 1: Let $X \times \Omega = [\omega, 10(\omega)]$ random uniform space and d is usual metric on X . Define $B, T: X \times \Omega \rightarrow X \times \Omega$ by

$$Bx(\omega) = \begin{cases} \omega & \text{if } \omega \leq x(\omega) < 3(\omega) \\ \frac{\omega + x(\omega)}{4} & \text{if } x(\omega) \geq 3(\omega) \end{cases}$$

and

$$Tx(\omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{x(\omega) = \omega}{2} & \text{if } \omega \leq x(\omega) < 2(\omega) \\ \frac{2x(\omega) + \omega}{5} & \text{if } x(\omega) \geq 2(\omega) \end{cases}$$

Also consider the sequence $x_n(\omega) = 2(\omega) + (1/n)$.

Clearly, T and S weak commutative

$\Rightarrow BT2(\omega) = TB2(\omega)$ and $T2(\omega) = S2(\omega) = (\omega)$. Similarly, we define $A, S: X \times \Omega \rightarrow X \times \Omega$ by

$Ax(\omega) = \begin{cases} (\omega) & \text{if } \omega \leq x(\omega) < 4(\omega) \\ \frac{2(\omega) + x(\omega)}{6} & \text{if } x(\omega) \geq 4(\omega) \end{cases}$ and $Sx(\omega) = \begin{cases} x(\omega) & \text{if } 1 \leq x(\omega) < 2 \\ \frac{x(\omega) + (\omega)}{6} & \text{if } x(\omega) \geq 2 \end{cases}$

Clearly, the pair (A, S) is semi compatible. We observe that A, B, S and T satisfying the conditions of theorem 2.1 and hence (ω) is the fixed point.

REFERENCES

[1] Aamri M. and Moutawakil D., Weak compatibility and common fixed point theorems for A-contractive and E- Expansive maps in uniform spaces, Serdica Math. J. 31,1-2,(2005), 75p-86p.

[2] Y.J. Cho, B.K. Sharma and D.R. Sahu, Semi compatibility and fixed points, Math. Japon, 42(1995), no.1, 91-98.
 [3] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 29(3)(1998), 227-238.
 [4] Vanita Ben Dhagat, Common Fixed Point Theorems in Uniform Spaces, Note di Note Mat. 30 (2010) no. 1, 57-6