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#### Abstract

This paper focus on the prediction of geometric features of middle finger from the known width of the finger. Geometric features of both the hands from 100 people of different age group were extracted from the silhouettes. The proposed method can be used to predict middle finger length, position of knuckles and also finger width at the second knuckle using taalamana system and shilpa shastra. The estimation accuracy of more than $91 \%$ is achieved for all the estimated features of the middle finger.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The human hand is a masterpiece of mechanical complexity. The anatomy of the hand is complex, intricate, and fascinating. Its integrity is absolutely essential for everyday functional living. Hands may be affected by many disorders, most commonly traumatic injury. Construction of the middle finger when only fractional part of the image is available is a challenging task. In this view middle finger features are estimated using taalamana system and golden mean.

## A. Taalamana system

Iconography is the branch of art history which studies the identification, description, and the interpretation of the content of images. The word iconography literally means "image writing". The idea of constructing human hand is derived from Silpa Shastra. It has developed its own norms of measures and proportions. It is a complex system of iconography that defines rigid definitions [1,21,22]. The shilpa shastra normally employ divisions on a scale of one (eka tala) to ten (dasa tala). Each tala is subdivided into 12 angulas. It is called Taalamana paddathi or Taalamana system, the system of measurements by Tala, the palm of hand i.e. from the tip of the middle finger to the wrist as shown in figure 1.

## B. Golden ratio

Two quantities are in the golden ratio if the ratio of the sum of the quantities to the larger quantity is equal to the ratio of the larger quantity to the smaller one. The golden section is a line segment divided according to the golden ratio. If $a$ and $b$ are the lengths of the larger and smaller line segments respectively, then golden ratio is represented as shown in equation 1 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a+b}{a}=\frac{a}{b}=\Phi(P h i) \ldots \ldots . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case of accidents if only partial knowledge of the finger is available, then the proposed method can be used to obtain complete knowledge of the damaged part. In medical science when it is necessary to replace any part of the
human body like fingers, it can be constructed using the proposed method for perfection in the plastic surgery.

The paper is organized into five sections. Introduction is given in first section. In the second section literature is reviewed.

Mathematical model is enumerated in section 3. In section 4 the proposed method is discussed and the simulation results are presented in section5.


Figure 1: Computation of Middle finger length

## II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Geometric measurements of the human hand have been used for identity authentication in a number of commercial systems. Anil K.Jain and others have worked extensively on hand geometry specifically for identification and verification systems $[6,7,8]$. There is not much open literature addressing the research issues underlying hand geometry-based identity authentication; much of the literature is in the form of patents [2, 3, 4]. Hand geometry recognition systems may provide three kinds of services like verification, classification and identification [12]. A novel contact-free biometric identification system based on geometrical features of the human hand is developed by Aythami Morales and others [11]. A component-based hand verification system using palm-finger segmentation and fusion was developed by Gholamreza and others. The geometry of each component of the hand is represented using high order Zernike moments which is computed using an efficient methodology [15].

Windy and others have used geometric measurements to study the sexual orientation. The ratio of the length of the
second digit (2D) to the length of the fourth digit (4D) is greater in women than in men. This ratio is stable from 2 years of age in humans [9,10]. Gender classification from hand images in computer vision is attempted by Gholamreza and others [16].

Issac Cohen and others have worked on 3D hand construction from silhouettes of 2D hands [13]. Digital and metacarpal formulae are morphological variables which may also have functional significance in the understanding of how certain hand forms may be ill-fitted for certain tasks [14].
T.F.Cootes and others have worked on active shape models [17,18] which laid foundations for statistical shape analysis using Procrustes analysis, tangent space projection and Principal Component Analysis[19]. Geometric hand measurements are also used in hand gesture classification using a view-based approach for representation and Artifi cial Neural Network for classification [20].

## III. MATHEMATICAL MODEL

Prediction of finger length, position of knuckles and finger width at the second knuckle are computed using taala mana system and golden ratio. The finger length is compu ted as five times the finger width (FW1). Finger joints or knuckles are predicted using golden ratio rule. The golden mean or ratio can be computed mathematically as shown in equation 2 and 3 .

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}=\Phi(P h i)=1.6180339 \ldots \ldots  \tag{2}\\
& \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}=\Phi(p h i)=0.6180339 \ldots \ldots \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Positions of the knuckles from tip and bottom of the finger are computed using the equation 4 and the finger width at the second knuckle FW2 is computed as shown in equation 5 .

$$
L 1=L 2=p h i * F L \ldots . . . . . . . . .
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F W 2=2 * F W-\left(p h i^{*} F W\right) \ldots . . . . . . . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## IV. PROPOSED APPROACH

200 silhouette of both the hands of 100 users are taken on sheets of paper and features are extracted Geometric features of both the hands from 100 different people of different age group collected. At first the silhouette of hand is taken on the paper and the positions of the knuckles are marked. 24 features are extracted as shown in figure 2. For each finger five features namely finger width 1 (FW1), finger width 2 (FW2), Finger Length (FL), Position of first knuckle from bottom(L1) and position of second knuckle from finger tip (L2) are extracted. Similarly for the four fingers these five features are collected and four features for the thumb totally to 24 feature set.

From first width of the middle finger (FW1), the values of FL, L1, L2 and FW2 are estimated. The actual and estimated values of a subset of samples are tabulated in table 1 and 2 in page 5 .

## V. SIMULATION RESULTS

Geometrical features of both the hands are collected from 100 different people of different age group. Features collected for each of the finger are Finger Width (FW1, FW2), Finger Length (FL), Distance of first knuckle from bottom of the finger (L1) and distance of the second knuckle from the tip of the finger (L2). Total of 24 features are collected. In the current study only middle finger length is computed using our approach.


Figure 2: Feature Extraction
In statistics, the mean square error or MSE of an estimator is one of ways to quantify the difference between an estimator and the true value of the quantity being estimated. MSE is a risk function, corresponding to the expected value of the squared error loss or quadratic loss. MSE measures the average of the square of the "error." The error is the amount by which the estimator differs from the quantity to be estimated. The difference occurs because of randomness or because the estimator doesn't account for information that could produce a more accurate estimate. The square root of MSE yields the root mean squared error or RMSE.

$$
\begin{align*}
& M S E=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(f_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2} \ldots \ldots  \tag{6}\\
& M A E=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k} a b s\left(f_{i}-y_{i}\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The mean absolute error is a quantity used to measure how close forecasts or predictions are to the eventual outcomes. The mean absolute error (MAE) is an average of the absolute errors computed as in equation 7 , where $f_{i}$ is the prediction and $y_{i}$ the true value.

Table 1 shows the actual values of FW1, FL and FW2. The finger length is predicted as five times of FW1. Finger width 2 is predicted using the equation 5 . The actual and predicted values are tabulated. Absolute error and percentage of correctness for both FL and FW2 are also tabulated. Only 25 random samples are shown in the table. Similarly, in table 2 the actual and predicted positions of first knuckle (L1) and second knuckle (L2) are tabulated along with the absolute error. In table 3, the statistical features of the samples namely min, max, mean and standard deviation are tabulated. Table 4 shows RMSE, MAE and estimation accuracy for all the four features predicted for the middle finger. Mean absolute error and Root mean square error tabulated indicates that a maximum of 0.5 centimeters error is present in estimating position of the knuckles and approximately 0.3 centimeters in estimating finger length.

Table I: Actual and predicted values of FL and FW2

| SI. No. | FW1 | A-FL | P-FL | Abs Error | \% Correct | A-FW2 | P-FW2 | Abs Error | \% Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 0 | 100.000 | 1.9 | 2.073 | 0.173 | 91.655 |
| 2 | 1.6 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 100.000 | 2 | 2.211 | 0.211 | 90.449 |
| 3 | 1.4 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 100.000 | 1.75 | 1.935 | 0.185 | 90.449 |
| 4 | 1.4 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 100.000 | 1.8 | 1.935 | 0.135 | 93.033 |
| 5 | 1.7 | 8 | 8.5 | 0.5 | 94.118 | 2 | 2.349 | 0.349 | 85.128 |
| 6 | 1.7 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 0.4 | 95.294 | 2 | 2.349 | 0.349 | 85.128 |
| 7 | 1.42 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 0.1 | 98.592 | 1.8 | 1.962 | 0.162 | 91.723 |
| 8 | 1.4 | 6.8 | 7 | 0.2 | 97.143 | 1.8 | 1.935 | 0.135 | 93.033 |
| 9 | 1.4 | 7.1 | 7 | 0.1 | 98.571 | 1.6 | 1.935 | 0.335 | 82.696 |
| 10 | 1.4 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 100.000 | 1.9 | 1.935 | 0.035 | 98.201 |
| 11 | 1.5 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 0.2 | 97.333 | 1.8 | 2.073 | 0.273 | 86.831 |
| 12 | 1.6 | 7.9 | 8 | 0.1 | 98.750 | 2 | 2.211 | 0.211 | 90.449 |
| 13 | 1.5 | 7.2 | 7.5 | 0.3 | 96.000 | 2 | 2.073 | 0.073 | 96.479 |
| 14 | 1.6 | 7.5 | 8 | 0.5 | 93.750 | 2 | 2.211 | 0.211 | 90.449 |
| 15 | 1.6 | 7.7 | 8 | 0.3 | 96.250 | 1.9 | 2.211 | 0.311 | 85.926 |
| 16 | 1.5 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 98.667 | 1.9 | 2.073 | 0.173 | 91.655 |
| 17 | 1.4 | 7.4 | 7 | 0.4 | 94.286 | 1.8 | 1.935 | 0.135 | 93.033 |
| 18 | 1.4 | 7.4 | 7 | 0.4 | 94.286 | 1.8 | 1.935 | 0.135 | 93.033 |
| 19 | 1.6 | 8.1 | 8 | 0.1 | 98.750 | 1.9 | 2.211 | 0.311 | 85.926 |
| 20 | 1.7 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 0.2 | 97.647 | 2.1 | 2.349 | 0.249 | 89.385 |
| 21 | 1.9 | 8.8 | 9.5 | 0.7 | 92.632 | 2.3 | 2.626 | 0.326 | 87.592 |
| 22 | 2.0 | 9.2 | 10 | 0.8 | 92.000 | 2.5 | 2.764 | 0.264 | 90.449 |
| 23 | 1.8 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 100.000 | 2.2 | 2.488 | 0.288 | 88.439 |
| 24 | 1.8 | 9.1 | 9 | 0.1 | 98.889 | 2.3 | 2.488 | 0.188 | 92.459 |
| 25 | 1.7 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 0.3 | 96.471 | 2 | 2.349 | 0.349 | 85.128 |

Table II: Actual and predicted values of L1 and L2

| Sl. No. | FW1 | A-L1 | P-L1 | Abs Error | \% Correct | A-L2 | P-L2 | Abs Error | \% Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.5 | 4.9 | 4.635 | 0.265 | 94.283 | 4.9 | 4.635 | 0.265 | 94.283 |
| 2 | 1.6 | 5.6 | 4.944 | 0.656 | 86.731 | 5.1 | 4.944 | 0.156 | 96.845 |
| 3 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 4.326 | 0.274 | 93.666 | 4.5 | 4.326 | 0.174 | 95.978 |
| 4 | 1.4 | 5.1 | 4.326 | 0.774 | 82.108 | 4.8 | 4.326 | 0.474 | 89.043 |
| 5 | 1.7 | 6 | 5.253 | 0.747 | 85.780 | 5.5 | 5.253 | 0.247 | 95.298 |
| 6 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 5.253 | 0.247 | 95.298 | 5.2 | 5.253 | 0.053 | 98.991 |
| 7 | 1.42 | 5 | 4.388 | 0.612 | 86.048 | 4.2 | 4.388 | 0.188 | 95.720 |
| 8 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 4.326 | 0.074 | 98.289 | 4.4 | 4.326 | 0.074 | 98.289 |
| 9 | 1.4 | 4.8 | 4.326 | 0.474 | 89.043 | 4.5 | 4.326 | 0.174 | 95.978 |
| 10 | 1.4 | 4.8 | 4.326 | 0.474 | 89.043 | 4.8 | 4.326 | 0.474 | 89.043 |
| 11 | 1.5 | 5.4 | 4.635 | 0.765 | 83.495 | 4.8 | 4.635 | 0.165 | 96.440 |
| 12 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 4.944 | 0.256 | 94.822 | 5.4 | 4.944 | 0.456 | 90.777 |
| 13 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 4.635 | 0.165 | 96.440 | 4.8 | 4.635 | 0.165 | 96.440 |
| 14 | 1.6 | 5 | 4.944 | 0.056 | 98.867 | 5 | 4.944 | 0.056 | 98.867 |
| 15 | 1.6 | 5 | 4.944 | 0.056 | 98.867 | 5 | 4.944 | 0.056 | 98.867 |
| 16 | 1.5 | 4.9 | 4.635 | 0.265 | 94.283 | 4.7 | 4.635 | 0.065 | 98.598 |
| 17 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 4.326 | 1.074 | 75.173 | 5 | 4.326 | 0.674 | 84.420 |
| 18 | 1.4 | 5.2 | 4.326 | 0.874 | 79.797 | 4.7 | 4.326 | 0.374 | 91.355 |
| 19 | 1.6 | 5.3 | 4.944 | 0.356 | 92.799 | 5.3 | 4.944 | 0.356 | 92.799 |
| 20 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 5.253 | 0.247 | 95.298 | 5.4 | 5.253 | 0.147 | 97.202 |
| 21 | 1.9 | 5.4 | 5.871 | 0.471 | 91.978 | 6 | 5.871 | 0.129 | 97.803 |
| 22 | 2.0 | 6.2 | 6.180 | 0.020 | 99.676 | 5.8 | 6.180 | 0.380 | 93.851 |
| 23 | 1.8 | 6 | 5.562 | 0.438 | 92.125 | 5.7 | 5.562 | 0.138 | 97.519 |
| 24 | 1.8 | 6.2 | 5.562 | 0.638 | 88.529 | 5.6 | 5.562 | 0.038 | 99.317 |
| 25 | 1.7 | 5.8 | 5.253 | 0.547 | 89.587 | 5.1 | 5.253 | 0.153 | 97.087 |

Table III: Statistical Analysis

|  | Min | Max | Mean | Std Deviation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| FW1 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.5712 | 0.1246 |
| FL | 6.8000 | 9.6000 | 8.0374 | 0.5418 |
| FW2 | 1.4000 | 2.5000 | 1.7582 | 0.2013 |
| L1 | 4.4000 | 6.6000 | 5.5226 | 0.4200 |
| L2 | 4.2000 | 6.8000 | 5.2632 | 0.4563 |

Table IV: RMSE and MAE

|  | MAE | RMSE | Estimation <br> Accuracy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FL | 0.3010 | 0.39881 | $96.03 \%$ |
| FW2 | 0.2013 | 0.18908 | $91.13 \%$ |
| L1 | 0.4199 | 0.42848 | $94.17 \%$ |
| L2 | 0.4563 | 0.51219 | $91.14 \%$ |

In figure 3(a-d) around $40-50$ subset of the samples are plot indicating the actual and predicted values of FL, FW2, L1 and L2 respectively. Red line in the plot shows the actual or true values and blue line indicates the predicted values. Overlapping in the graph shows the close relation of predicted values to the actual values.

a)

b)

c)

d)

Figure 3(a-d): Plot of actual and predicted values of FL, FW2, L1 and L2

## VI. CONCLUSION

To the best of our knowledge this is the first humble beginning in constructing human finger from its fractional part. In view of this Taalamana system and golden ratio are used to predict the feature values for FL, FW2, L1 and L2. The graph in figure 3 indicates close association of the actual and the estimated feature values. Estimation accuracy of $96 \%, 91 \%, 94 \%$ and $91 \%$ for FL, FW2, L1 and L2 features respectively is achieved.
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