# FEATURES EXTRACTION IN 3D IMAGES VISUALIZED IN HEXAGONAL LATTICE OF Z ${ }^{3}$ GRID 

Mohd. Sherfuddin Khan<br>Research Scholar,Department of Electronics, G.H Raisoni College of Engineering, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Vibha Bora<br>Professor \& Head Electronics Dept.<br>G.H Raisoni College of Engineering, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Vijay H. Mankar<br>Head of E\&TC<br>Government Polytechnic<br>Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India


#### Abstract

Three Dimensional Digital images to Extract features in 3D images has become a subject of interest now-a days as many researchers are still processing and visualizing 3D digital images using 2.5 D algorithms rather than 3D algorithms which is imprecise . and the implicit arrangement of human visual sensor array is hexagonal in nature .this intended many people including researchers and scientist for a long time to process the digital image over hexagonal prism lattice to extract better curvilinear features when compared to image processed over Rectangular lattice. in This paper we propose a simulated hexagonal prismatic lattice and the hexagonal image Algebra for Extracting features processed on hexagonal prism lattice using algorithms developed in the framework of CLAP and 3D morphology and real time 3D MRI images for testing the algorithms has been demonstrated along with sectioned view for visual inspection of linear and non linear features.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Description of an images in dissimilar scales and views applicable, to the need of the user is utmost significance and the present situation in visualization based systems. This will help the researchers to extract various features in directions which will then improve the division and segmentation of the images. In this juncture, Sima and Kay[1] put forward off-nadir parts which are given less importance and also not considered earlier. Such a way has provided efficient optimization in rendering. Rather than using the excess data, only the data which is near viewing imagery data is taken as input against those pixels with an maximized geometry for the process of rendering. This pixel which is maximized is further used as the pixel that corresponds to the to see angle that is most orthogonal that models the actual surface of the object[1].For efficient understanding of morphology of a three dimensional structure range images are required, which provide the basic necessity. An efficient edge detection algorithm must be able to extract features in such a way that they are linear in range as well as intensity of the image data. Most of the edge detection algorithms cannot detect edges appropriately in the presence of noise as they will be focusing on synthetic range images. Hence, Alshawabkeh[2] proposed an efficient edge detection algorithm which is mathematically efficient that can better localize edge pixels and is also robust to
noise. Surface reconstruction is an interpolation problem which uses different types of methods with three angle in many of the algorithms that shows reconstruction. These surfaces can be smoothly extracted by the use of a bounding tetrahedral[3]. The surfaces[11] of an object shows the shape of the object. Skeleton is such type of methods which detects the shape of the object. The three dimensional shape of an object is the curved skeleton which is of one dimensional skeleton. Silhouette is the output that is derived from a curve skeleton. Such a skeletonisation is a difficult and hence it can be thought of as a maximization problem[4].For perfect data coincidence least squares matching is commonly preferred, which is also the best mathematical model. The well- known ones are LS image matching which is done in two dimensional pixel space and LS multiple cuboid matching which is done in three dimensional voxel space. This method is viewed to have a computational complexity of $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{2}\right)$ and the ability of handling multi-sensor, temporal, resolution and scale data[5].Generally, for non-invasive type of medical surgeries the visualization algorithms will be of great help. The surface visualization must be good enough such that the doctor can easily make a note of the presence of pathologies and polyps. In such a case three dimensional visualization will aid more to determine the setup perfectly[6]. Hence, here we worked improving a three dimensional edge extraction which has resulted in the better visualization that
that of the 2.5D. Features that are extracted from such a three dimensional visualization will help in division of three dimensional objects[7]. Peizhi Chen and Xin Li[8] presented a novel algorithm for volumetric images. The matching algorithm first extracts the features of the image using 3DSIFT. Such a feature is viewed to be scale and rotation invariant. Further, common features are processed using an improved spectral matching algorithm which has resulted in one to one mapping of these features. These features can be used for modeling of motions in medical images for processing and analysis. The major problem that is posed by three dimensional imaging is the validation which may be either qualitative or quantitative. The former compares different visualization methods for a single task and latter gives the measure of the proposed techniques in terms of precision, accuracy and efficiency of the measurement[9]. Describing a three dimensional model is done by using meshes. Different features viz., volume, coefficients of more transformations, moments can be calculated from these meshes[12]. The three dimensional descriptation can be secured by processing of the images using rectangular or hexagonal grid. For such a descriptation a coordinate system must have its basis vectors which are non-orthogonal [13]. many algorithms exist for extraction of edges from the images which are sampled using rectangular grid. One such algorithm is CLAP. It is identified that hexagonal sampling[15-16] provides better curvilinear visualization when compared to the rectangular grid based processing[14].It is observed that CLAP based surface detection algorithm takes less time for finding of the surface[17].we put forward a pseudo-hexagonal prismatic lattice. In this work we put forward a pseudo-hexagonal prismatic lattice based volumetric features detection and 3D morphology based surface detection on hexagonalized images. The processing of these images gives a of high quality that are defined on multi-dimensional grids. These are further used for getting an insight in to the scientific problem[20]. Section II) clearly outlines the problem definition, Section III) details the mathematical background of the proposed solution, Section IV) describes the proposed solution, Section V) Processing of 3D hexagonal images using 3D algorithms Section VI) provides the conclusion and future scope.

## II PROBLEM DEFINITION

Creating hexagonal image Algebra for Processing and visualizing different MRI test images on Hexagonal prism lattice to Extract Features For Visual inspection and comparing with Rectangular grid based processing.

## III )THE MATHEMATICAL BACKGROUND OF THE PROPOSED SOLUTION

Two major paradigms have been used in the development of 3D image processing algorithms. They are (i) Cellular Logic Array Processing (CLAP) and (ii) Mathematical Morphology.

### 3.1 Cellular Logic Array Processing

The Algorithms used for processing 3D MRI images to extract features are developed in the fram work of cellular logic arry processing and 3D Digital morphology. In this context, some basic mathematical preliminaries of cellular logic array processing are provided .

### 3.1.1 On the notion of Normal Algorithms

the notion of normal algorithms are introduced In 1951, by Andrei Andreevich Markov (A. A. Markov) which are idealized realizations of sequential computing machines. A normal algorithm is an ordered list of substitution (production) formulas which fall under Chomsky's type 0 grammar category. The functional attributes of a normal algorithm follow the attributes of a partial recursive function.


Fig. 1: Functional block diagram of a normal algorithm
Legend: $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are words from an alphabet A and $\delta \in\{\wedge$, $\bullet\}$. $\wedge$ and • respectively denote an empty word and termination symbol. The substitution formula $P_{i} \rightarrow \wedge Q_{i}$ is called a simple substitution formula and $P_{i} \rightarrow \bullet Q_{i}$ is called a terminal substitution formula. Simple substitution formula can be applied any number of times to an input string till the computation process naturally terminates, whereas terminal formula applied just once and computation process is terminated forcefully. This functional semantics is illustrated by a simple example of right adjoining of a word Q - 001 to the word $\mathrm{P}=101$, where P and Q are words from alphabet $\mathrm{A}=\left\{0,1\right.$ ). The normal algorithm $\mathrm{N}^{\text {RAD }}$ consisting of six substitution formulas, does this job and yields $\mathrm{PQ}=$ 101001. $\mathrm{N}^{\text {RAD }}$ has been developed over the union of alphabets $\mathrm{A}=\{0,1)$ and $\mathrm{E}=\{\alpha)$. A is known as alphabet of terminals and E is known as alphabet of non terminals.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}^{\text {RAD }} \text { Substitution Formula } \\
& \text { Formulas Number } \\
& \alpha \xi \eta \rightarrow \xi \alpha \eta ; \quad \xi \alpha \eta \in \mathrm{A} \quad 1 \\
& \alpha \rightarrow \bullet \mathrm{Q} \quad 2 \\
& \wedge \quad \rightarrow \quad \alpha ; \quad \alpha \in E \quad 3 \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { NRAD : } \\
\end{array} \quad 101 \underset{3}{\Rightarrow} \alpha 101 \underset{1}{\Rightarrow} 1 \alpha 01 \underset{1}{\Rightarrow} 10 \alpha 1 \underset{c c c c c}{\Rightarrow} 101 \alpha \underset{2}{\Rightarrow} 101001
\end{aligned}
$$

Normal algorithms can be constructed over union of various alphabets. The principle of normalization of algorithms states that there is no algorithm ever constructed or going to be constructed in future which is non-normalizable.

### 3.2.1 On the notion of Cellular Automata

Sthanislaw Ulam and von Neuman in 1936 introduced cellular automata and it was known as tessellation automaton. Cellular automata are idealized parallel processing computing systems. A cellular automaton is an
array of cells called sites. Each cell takes on values from a set of numbers $\mathrm{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}-1\}$ at a particular instant of time. Each cell value is computed by an updating rule $\phi$ that involves values of certain neighbourhood $R$ of cells. An updating rule condition is simultaneously parallely applied to all cells in the array at a particular instant of time and values for next instant of time calculated. This amounts to saying that a cellular automaton is a parallel processing system each cell being treated as a processor. The family of cellular automata is denoted as DNRCA, where D refers to the dimension, N refers to the number of values a cell can take and R refers to the neighbourhood. For example 123CA refers to the family of one dimensional binary valued three neighbourhood cellular automata with 256 updating rules called linear Boolean functions. This means that one can construct 256 one dimensional cellular automata belonging to the category 123CA.

Figure 2 shows an unbounded linear array of 123CA category of cellular automata.

| ----- | $\mathrm{i}-4$ | $\mathrm{i}-3$ | $\mathrm{i}-2$ | $\mathrm{i}-1$ | i | $I+1$ | $I+2$ | $I+3$ | $I+4$ | ----- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Fig. 2: Linear array of 123CA cellular automata family The site values of all cells of a cellular automaton belonging to the family123CA at time instant $t$ is shown in figure. 3

| ----- | $\xi^{(t)}{ }_{i-1}$ | $\xi^{(t)}{ }_{i-1}$ | $\xi^{(t)}{ }_{i-1}$ | $\xi^{(t)}{ }_{\mathrm{i}-1}$ | $\xi^{(t)}{ }_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\xi^{(t)}{ }_{i+1}$ | $\xi^{(t)}{ }_{i+2}$ | $\xi^{(t)}{ }_{i+3}$ | $\xi^{(t)}{ }_{i+4}$ | ----- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Fig. 3: Site values of 123CA based cellular automaton

Now an updating rule $\phi$ is applied to all cells at a time. Considering the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ cell and its three neighbourhood cells (a cell is neighbour to itself) an updating rule would be of the form $\xi^{(t+1)}{ }_{i}=\phi\left(\xi^{(t)}{ }_{i-1}, \xi^{(t)}{ }_{i}, \xi^{(t)}{ }_{i+1}\right)$, where $\xi^{(t+1)}{ }_{i}$ is the updated value of the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ cell by the formula $\phi$. As mentioned earlier, once can construct 256 linear Boolean functions $\phi_{0}, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$, ------, $\phi_{255}$.

## IV) PROPOSED SOLUTION

### 4.13 D Image Visualization in Hexagonal Prism Lattice of $\mathbf{Z}^{3}$ Grid

## Brief Explanation of Hexagonal lattice in Z3

A voxel in a 3D lattice is shown in figure 4(a). Fig.4(b) which is a $10 \times 10 \times 10$ array of voxels. Each voxel is shown by a gray tone or a color in terms of $R, G$ and $B$ values. If $R, G$ and $B$ values are identical then the voxel is said to be gray level voxel.


Fig. 4: Voxel in a 3D lattice and 10x10x10 array of voxels

1. Front hexagonal face
2. Rear hexagonal face
3. Right down square face
4. Right up square face
5. Top square face
6. Left up square face
7. Left down square face
8. Bottom square face


Fig. 5: Hexagonal unit prism with 12 nodes and two such units concatenated

The relation between voxels of rectangular lattice then that of simulated hexagonal lattice interlinked with the formulas: as (i) in the hexagonal lattice of $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ voxel array in the even row element is linked to rectangular lattice as (Note: $0^{\text {th }}$ row is even row), $\left\langle\mathrm{kx}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{ky}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{kz}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\rangle=<\mathrm{NX}_{2 \mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{Ny}_{2 \mathrm{j}}$, $\mathrm{Nz}_{2 \mathrm{k}}>$, and (ii) in the hexagonal lattice of $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ voxel array in the odd row element is linked to rectangular lattice as $<\mathrm{kx}_{\mathrm{i}}$, $\mathrm{ky}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{kz}_{\mathrm{k}},>=\left\langle\mathrm{Nx}_{2 \mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{Ny}_{2 \mathrm{j}+1}, \mathrm{Nz}_{2 \mathrm{k} 22-23]}\right.$.


Fig. 6: Hexagonal lattice points depicted in $\mathrm{Z}^{3}$ grid


Figure. 7: Sample image displayed on rectangular and hexagonal lattice in $\mathrm{Z}^{3}$ grid
The objective of this paper is to Extract volumetric and superficial features of various components in a 3D hexagonalized image using algorithms developed in the frame work of i) cellular logic array processing and ii)3D digital morphology and compare with the rectangular grid processed images for visual inspection .

## V) PROCESSING OF 3D HEXAGONAL IMAGES USING 3D ALGORITHMS

### 5.1 Three dimensional structuring elements in 3D Hexagonal Prism Lattice of $\mathbf{Z}^{3}$ Grid



Front Plane (K-1)
Central Plane (K) Rear Plane ( $\mathrm{K}+1$ )
Figure 8: 21- Neighbourhood Structure
the 21-neighborhood structure contain a total of 324 convex polyhedrons (prisms) which are in the 21neighborhood structure in to seven groups in which 25 are basic convex structuring elements which are listed below as .

Group A: No voxel is eliminated we get 1 combination $A=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,15,16,17,18,19,20\}$
Group B: Eliminating one voxel we obtain 12 combinations
$B_{1}=\{2,3,4,5,6,15,16,17,18,19,20\} ; B_{2}=\{1,3,4,5,6,15,16,17,18,19,20\} ; B_{3}=\{1,2,4,5,6,15,16,17,18,19,20\} ; B_{4}=$ $\{1,2,3,5,6,15,16,17,18,19,20\} ; \quad B_{5}=\{1,2,3,4,6,15,16,17,18,19,20\} ; \quad B_{6}=\{1,2,3,4,5,15,16,17,18,19,20\} ; \quad B_{15}=$ $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,16,17,18,19,20\} ; \quad B_{16}=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,15,17,18,19,20\} ; \quad B_{17}=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,15,16,18,19,20\} ; \quad B_{18}=$ $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,15,16,17,19,20\} ; B_{19}=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,15,16,17,18,20\} ; B_{20}=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,15,16,17,18,19\} ;$
Similarly one can construct hexagonal polyhedrons (prisms) by removing various corner voxels in a combinatorial manner.
Group C D E F G : Eliminating two ,three, four , five, six voxels we obtain $54,112,105,36,4$ combinations

## Basis Polyhedrons from E and F and G Groups

E1, 4, 15,18; E1, 4, 16,19; E1, 4, 17,20; E2, 5, 15,18; E2, 5, 16,19; E2, 5, 17,20; E3, 6, 15,18; E3, 6, 16,19; E3, 6, 17,20,F1, 4, 15,17,19; F1, 4, 16,18,20; F2, 5, 15,17,19; F2, 5, 16,18,20; F2, 5, 15,17,19; F2, 5, 15,17,19; F1, 3, 5,15,18; F1, 3, 5,16,19; F1, 3, 5,17,20; F2, 4, 6,F2, 4, 6,16,19,F2, 4, 6,17,20 G1,3,5,15,17,19 ; G1,3,5,16,18,20 ; G2,4,6,15,17,19 ; G2,4,6,16,18,20

The above mentioned 324 convex -polyhydrons are used as structuring elements for processing hexagonalized 3D images to extract features but the basic 25 structuring elements do the job of all as they are basic pattern.

| Sl. No | Convex Hexagonal <br> Polyhedron <br> (Prism) | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{E}_{1,4,15,18}$ |  |
| 2 | $\mathrm{E}_{1,4,16,19}$ | 4 corners removed |
| 3 | $\mathrm{E}_{1,4,17,20}$ |  |
| 4 | $\mathrm{E}_{2,5,15,18}$ |  |
| 5 | $\mathrm{E}_{2,5,16,19}$ |  |
| 6 | $\mathrm{E}_{2,5,17,20}$ |  |


| 7 | $\mathrm{E}_{3,6,15,18}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | $\mathrm{E}_{3,6,16,19}$ |  |
| 9 | $\mathrm{E}_{3,6,17,20}$ |  |
| 10 | $\mathrm{~F}_{1,4,15,17,19}$ |  |
| 11 | $\mathrm{~F}_{1,4,16,18,20}$ |  |
| 12 | $\mathrm{~F}_{2,5,15,17,19}$ |  |
| 13 | $\mathrm{~F}_{2,5,16,18,20}$ | 5 corners removed |
| 14 | $\mathrm{~F}_{2,5,15,17,19}$ |  |
| 15 | $\mathrm{~F}_{2,5,15,17,19}$ |  |
| 16 | $\mathrm{~F}_{1,3,5,15,18}$ |  |
| 17 | $\mathrm{~F}_{1,3,5,16,19}$ |  |
| 18 | $\mathrm{~F}_{1,3,5,17,20}$ |  |
| 19 | $\mathrm{~F}_{2,4,6,15,18}$ |  |


| 20 | $\mathrm{~F}_{2,4,6,16,19}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | $\mathrm{~F}_{2,4,6,17,20}$ |  |
| 22 | $\mathrm{G}_{1,3,5,15,17,19}$ |  |
| 23 | $\mathrm{G}_{1,3,5,16,18,20}$ | 6 corners removed |
| 24 | $\mathrm{G}_{2,4,6,15,17,19}$ |  |
| 25 | $\mathrm{G}_{2,4,6,16,18,20}$ |  |

Table . 1 Basis hexagonal polyhedrons.

### 5.1.1 Volumetric Features Extracted in 3D images using CLAP algorithms

Extracting 3D volumetric features can be done by scanning the 3D image with 3D scanning window and processing it with the algorithm meant for it and clap based volumetric feature extraction is explained in [19][20]. For visual inspections Some test images are processed and features are extracted using clap algorithm are shown below .figures 10 shows Some the images on Rectangular and hexagonal grids for visual inspection.

Table 2: Test Images


Case Study 1


Figure 9.1 (a)3D edge detected toutatix1 (b) Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\mathrm{t}}$ slice (c) 3D edge detected hexagonalized toutatix1 (d)Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice
(e) 3D skeletonized toutatix1 (f)Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice
(g) 3D skeletonized hexagonalized toutatix1 (h) Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice


Figure 9.2 (i) 3D edge detected toutatix1 (j) Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {t }}$ slice (k) 3D edge detected hexagonalized toutatix1 (l)Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice (m) 3D skeletonized toutatix1 (n)Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice (0) 3D skeletonised hexagonalized toutatix1 (p) Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice


Figure .9.3 (q) 3Dedge detected toutatix2 (r) Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{t}$ slice (S) 3D edge detected hexagonalized toutatix2 (t)Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice
(u) 3D skeletonized toutatix2 (v)Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice
(w) 3D skeletonised hexagonalized toutatix2 (x) Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice

Figure. 9 shows Edge and skeletonised MRI images processed over Rectangular and hexagonal Grids for visual inspection

Table 3: processing Times

| Image | Edge | Skeleton |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Toutatix1 | 11 sec | 399.44 sec |
| toutatix2 | 11.42 sec | 409.51 sec |

Table 3 :gives the information regarding the time taken for Edge detection and skeletonization of standard test images Toutatix1 and Toutatix2 Toutatix2 (Note: complex city remains similar whether it is rectangular or hexagonalized processed images )

### 5.1.2 Mathematical Morphology in a 3D Hexagonal

Prism Lattice of $Z^{3}$ Grid

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{X} \theta \mathrm{~B}=\max \left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{y}+\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{z}+\mathrm{k}}\right) \\
(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}) \in \mathrm{B} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

The 3D mathematical operations in a 3D Hexagonal Prism Lattice of $Z^{3}$ Grid are performed by convolving the
3D Structuring Element $B$ on the Original image $X$. The Dilation and Erosion is given by the equations (1) \&(2)

CASE STUDY : 2


Figure 10.1 (a) Cube dilated (b) Cube dilated sectioned from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to -100 slice 3D dilated form of Hexagonalized cube(f): Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice


Figure 10.2 3D (e) Cube eroded forms (f) Cube eroided sectioned from 40 to -100 slice (g) 3D eroded form of Hexagonalized (h) cube eroided Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice


Figure 10.3 (i) 3D dilated forms of toutatix1 (j): Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice (k)3D dilated forms hexagonalized toutatix1(l): Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice

(m)

(n)

(o)

(p)

Figure 10.4 (m) eroded forms of toutatix1 (n): Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice (0)eroded forms of hexagonalized toutatix1 (p): Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice


Figure 10.5 (q)3D dilated forms of toutatix2 (r): Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice
(u) 3D dilated forms of hexagonalized toutatix2 (v): Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice

(w)

(x)

(y)

(z)

Figure 10.6 (w) 3D eroded forms of toutatix2 (x): Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice (y) 3D eroded forms of hexagonalized toutatix2 (z): Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice

Figure. 10 shows Dilated and Eroded MRI images on Rectangular and hexagonal Grids for visual inspection.

Table 4: processing Times

| Image | Dilation | Erosion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Toutatix1 | 8 sec | 10 sec |
| toutatix2 | 8 sec | 10 sec |

Table 4 :gives the information regarding the time taken for dilation and erosion of standard test images Toutatix1 and Toutatix2 (Note: complex city remains similar whether it is rectangular or hexagonalized processed images )

### 5.1.3. Surface Detection in 3D Hexagonal Images Using Morphological Filters

For non-invasive type of medical surgeries the visualization algorithms will be of great help and The surface detection and visualization must be good enough such that the doctor can easily make a note of the presence of pathologies and polyps .Surface detection and visualization on different grids has become much important as layered wise analysis is playing much important role in radiology as surface detection using morphology gives layered wise visualization [21]. Surface detection of some standard 3D MRI images using morphological operations with sectioned view for visualization is shown below in figure 11. which tells the quality of image processed and visualized on different grids.

Case Study 3:


Figure. 11 (a): 3D surface detected cube (b): Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice (c): 3D surface detected hexagonalized cube (d): Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice


Figure 11 (e): 3D surface detected toutatix1 image (f): Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice (g): 3 D surface detected hexagonalized toutatix1 (h): Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice


Figure 11.(i): 3D surface detected toutatix2 image (j): Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice (k) 3D surface detected hexagonalized toutatix2 l): Sections from $40^{\text {th }}$ slice to $100^{\text {th }}$ slice

Figure. 11 shows surface detected MRI images processed on Rectangular and hexagonal Grids for visual inspection

## VI) CONCLUSIONS

Features extracted on hexagonal prism lattice (z3) grid with some standard real time 3D MRI images for testing the algorithm has been demonstrated along with sectioned view for visual inspection purpose of linear and non linear features is presented in this paper on different grids . Results shown provide visual proof of quality of algorithms used for processing images on rectangular and hexagonal Grids developed in the framework of 'CLAP'. and by visual inspection of sectioned slices from $40^{\text {th }}$ to 100 th slices of hexagonal processed slices we can notify that we are able to Extract curvilinear features in 3D images at the loss of information due to images processed on simulated hexagonal grid. to overcome that we have to acquire images on hexagonal monitor instead of rectangular or else we have to go for 3D compression of the image processed on simulated hexagonal lattice to get the effect of rectangular grid processed image which will be the next research scope of the work done.
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