

**Electronic Voting System: An Issue of Voter's Privacy against the security of the system**

Vinod. M. Patil
Head, Associate Professor
Department of Computer Science,
Shri Shivaji College, Akola
Akola-444001, MS, India
vinmpatil2@yahoo.co.in

Sanjay Dhopte
Associate Professor
Department of Information Technology
Prof. Ram Meghe Institute of Technology and Research
Badnera 444601 Dist. Amravati, MS,
sanjaydhopte@gmail.com

Dr. V. M. Thakare
Prof & Head
Post Graduate Department of Computer Science
S. G. B Amravati University, Amravati-444602, MS, India
vilmthakare@yahoo.co.in

Abstract: This electronic document is a "live" template. The various components of your paper [title, text, heads, etc.] are already defined on the style sheet, as illustrated by the portions given in this document. Do not use special characters, symbols, or math in your title or abstract. The authors must follow the instructions given in the document for the papers to be published. You can use this document as both an instruction set and as a template into which you can type your own text. In order to increase a faith over e-voting systems, several research efforts have focused on making such systems auditable (or verifiable) so that all actions taken during the elections process can inspected and verified by everyone and simultaneously preserve the voter privacy and this is the challenging task. Since two things occur in parallel and it is very difficult to manage it at a time during the voting process.

Keywords: Secrete key, Private Key, privacy etc.

I. INTRODUCTION

Electronic balloting and voting can make the election process more convenient and efficient if it can be achieved securely as well as preserve the voters privacy. The basic principles of democracy are base on collective decision making of societies. It may be consider as an important indicator of civilization. The principle of some form of population voting as a society decision-making process is the basis of the paradigm. Assuming that the ultimate democracy would provide for all citizens to vote on all decisions, the purpose here is to show how the use of Information Technology could be considered as an important factor for a new step towards democratic process. Not only because it changes the scale of decision making but also because it permits creation of new communication and decision links that do not exist in the present political structures. Here try to achieved the two things that are voter's privacy and security simultaneously practically.

In order to preserve the voter's privacy, generate pseudonyms of the voters that cannot directly link to the voters registration. This cannot identify the voters by the election authority or any political party or anything else without the permission of voter and other three groups. The group means consider the different groups related to the political party, related to the NGO or social group or any individual verification and related to the election authority itself.

II. GENERATION OF PSEUDONYM TO THE VOTER

Pseudonym $PVi \in PV$ is a unique number generated for each voter. Voter can apply for his / her Pseudonym PVi as per the identity based on these registration list on the election day and that is applicable only for that particular election only (next election voter will generate an another pseudonym). $PVi \in PV$ – is a list of pseudonym identity of the voter which are unlikable to the voter's registration identity. This would be helping the voter that voter's real registration identity is hide from the voting authorities and during the communication, in order to preserve the voter's privacy. Thus, the voter becomes anonymous while he / she are using the PVi during his communications with the voting authorities. The central election authority can easily check validity of any PVi by applying the common key Y which are require the three secrete Key of three different group. Thus the election authority also cannot open the identity of the voter without concerning of voter and other three groups.

The pseudonyms are generated by the equation as:

$$PVi = Yi CKi \text{ mod } P$$

(or Consider $PVi = E CKi (Yi)$)

Where

$$CKi = Q Xi Xa Xb Xc \text{ mod } P; \text{ --}$$

$$= Y * Q Xi$$

$$[Y = Q Xa Xb Xc \text{ mod } P]$$

$E CKi (Yi)$ -- is computed by encrypting Y (with suitable method) with secrete key CKi .

X_i – is a secrete key of voter V_i and
 Y – is a common key
 $(Y = Q X_a X_b X_c \text{ mod } P)$
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

This process is carry out for voter’s authentication and authorization stage. In this scheme, election authority perform blind signature with PV_i in order to authenticate the PV_i and included in the PV-list of centre server CDB.

Thus voter can get its (Id, X_i , Y_i , PV_i , B_m) during election stage.

Where $X_i \in X$, $Y_i \in Y$ & $PV_i \in PV$.

III. PRESERVE THE PRIVACY’S VOTER:

In the proposed protocol, in order to preserve the privacy of the voters the following steps are essentials:

- a. Generates a unique pseudonym PV_i for each voter V_i which is unlinkable to the Voter’s registration and that preserves the privacy of voters.

This pseudonyms obtain by encryption of Y_i by using the secrete key from equitation as follows:

$$CK_i = Q X_i X_a X_b X_c \text{ mod } P$$

By Compute Y_i with PV_i by suitable method as

$$PV_i = Y_i CK_i \log P;$$

- b. This secrete keys are different for the different voters therefore it is impossible to obtain the secrete keys to each voter.
- c. Here the secrete key VY_i can be form by the agreements of four parties the voter secrete key X_i and three groups A, B and C secrete key X_a , X_b and X_c and also it impossible by method of discrete logarithm of modulo P to compute it.

- d. The only election authority also cannot perform the operation of formation of pseudonyms to the voter because this can be form with the agreement of these four different parties.
- e. The election pseudonyms are created to every voter before the election and those are unique and store in the central database CDB and publish in a bulletin board BB.
- f. The pseudonyms PV_i are valid for particular election only. when voter insert the election card and use the biometric B_m as the password then PV_i temporally store in the voter’s election card in that day only and readable only by voting terminals for the election day since for that terminals or voting centre use different code specification other than ASCII or universal code specification.

Compute the value of pseudonymous of the voter V_i as follows:

$$CK_i = Q X_i X_a X_b X_c \text{ mod } P$$

By compute PV_i by suitable method as:

$$PV_i = Y_i CK_i \log P;$$

Consider the X_i and group A, group B and group C’s secrete key

- $X_a = 1.1234;$
- $X_b = 1.5234;$
- $X_c = 1.4562;$
- $Q = 4.0000$
- $P = 1997;$

Compute the value of common keys CK_i of the voters V_i as follows:

Table I: Generation Of Common Key

| V | Voter public key Y_i | Voter secrete key X_i | $X = X_i * X_a * X_b * X_c$ | $Q1 = Q^X$ | Common key CK_i |
|-----|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| V1 | 11.63985497 | 2.23412 | 5.567708341 | 2249.54370731663 | 252.54370731663 |
| V2 | 11.66982947 | 2.23646 | 5.5735424 | 2267.81116516937 | 270.81116516938 |
| V3 | 11.69988117 | 2.23881 | 5.579376459 | 2286.22696422364 | 289.22696422364 |
| V4 | 11.73001025 | 2.24115 | 5.585210518 | 2304.79230908665 | 307.79230908665 |
| V5 | 11.76021692 | 2.24349 | 5.591044577 | 2323.50841414771 | 326.50841414771 |
| V6 | 11.79050137 | 2.24583 | 5.596878636 | 2342.37650365755 | 345.37650365755 |
| V7 | 11.82086382 | 2.24817 | 5.602712695 | 2361.39781180846 | 364.39781180846 |
| V8 | 11.85130445 | 2.25051 | 5.608546754 | 2380.57358281503 | 383.57358281503 |
| V9 | 11.88182347 | 2.25285 | 5.614380813 | 2399.90507099550 | 402.90507099550 |
| V10 | 11.91242109 | 2.25519 | 5.620214872 | 2419.39354085381 | 422.39354085381 |
| V11 | 11.94309749 | 2.25753 | 5.626048931 | 2439.04026716236 | 442.04026716236 |
| V12 | 11.9738529 | 2.25987 | 5.631882989 | 2458.84653504532 | 461.84653504532 |
| V13 | 12.0046875 | 2.26222 | 5.637717048 | 2478.81364006276 | 481.81364006276 |
| V14 | 12.03560151 | 2.26456 | 5.643551107 | 2498.94288829535 | 501.94288829535 |
| V15 | 12.06659513 | 2.26690 | 5.649385166 | 2519.23559642985 | 522.23559642985 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|-------------|----------|-------------|------------------|------------------|
| V16 | 12.09766856 | 2.26924 | 5.655219225 | 2539.69309184513 | 542.69309184513 |
| V17 | 12.12882201 | 2.27158 | 5.661053284 | 2560.31671269913 | 563.31671269913 |
| V18 | 12.16005568 | 2.27392 | 5.666887343 | 2581.10780801628 | 584.10780801628 |
| V19 | 12.19136979 | 2.27626 | 5.672721402 | 2602.06773777580 | 605.06773777580 |
| V20 | 12.22276453 | 2.27860 | 5.678555461 | 2623.19787300065 | 626.19787300065 |
| V21 | 12.25424012 | 2.28094 | 5.68438952 | 2644.49959584719 | 647.49959584720 |
| V22 | 12.28579677 | 2.283284 | 5.690223579 | 2665.97429969563 | 668.97429969563 |
| V23 | 12.31743468 | 2.285625 | 5.696057638 | 2687.62338924112 | 690.62338924112 |
| V24 | 12.34915406 | 2.287966 | 5.701891697 | 2709.44828058568 | 712.44828058568 |
| V25 | 12.38095513 | 2.290307 | 5.707725755 | 2731.45040133080 | 734.45040133080 |
| V26 | 12.41283808 | 2.292648 | 5.713559814 | 2753.63119067082 | 756.63119067082 |
| V27 | 12.44480315 | 2.294989 | 5.719393873 | 2775.99209948712 | 778.99209948712 |
| V28 | 12.47685052 | 2.29733 | 5.725227932 | 2798.53459044295 | 801.53459044295 |
| V29 | 12.50898043 | 2.299671 | 5.731061991 | 2821.26013807917 | 824.26013807917 |
| V30 | 12.54119307 | 2.302012 | 5.73689605 | 2844.17022891064 | 847.17022891064 |
| V31 | 12.57348867 | 2.304353 | 5.742730109 | 2867.26636152352 | 870.26636152352 |
| V32 | 12.60586743 | 2.306694 | 5.748564168 | 2890.55004667326 | 893.55004667326 |
| V33 | 12.63832957 | 2.309035 | 5.754398227 | 2914.02280738340 | 917.02280738340 |
| V34 | 12.67087531 | 2.311376 | 5.760232286 | 2937.68617904525 | 940.68617904525 |
| V35 | 12.70350486 | 2.313717 | 5.766066345 | 2961.54170951828 | 964.54170951828 |
| V36 | 12.73621844 | 2.316058 | 5.771900404 | 2985.59095923138 | 988.59095923138 |
| V37 | 12.76901625 | 2.318399 | 5.777734463 | 3009.83550128491 | 1012.83550128491 |
| V38 | 12.80189853 | 2.32074 | 5.783568521 | 3034.27692155364 | 1037.27692155364 |
| V39 | 12.83486549 | 2.323081 | 5.78940258 | 3058.91681879047 | 1061.91681879047 |
| V40 | 12.86791734 | 2.325422 | 5.795236639 | 3083.75680473099 | 1086.75680473099 |
| V41 | 12.9010543 | 2.327763 | 5.801070698 | 3108.79850419889 | 1111.79850419889 |
| V42 | 12.9342766 | 2.330104 | 5.806904757 | 3134.04355521232 | 1137.04355521232 |
| V43 | 12.96758445 | 2.332445 | 5.812738816 | 3159.49360909093 | 1162.49360909093 |
| V44 | 13.00097807 | 2.334786 | 5.818572875 | 3185.15033056399 | 1188.15033056399 |
| V45 | 13.03445769 | 2.337127 | 5.824406934 | 3211.01539787919 | 1214.01539787919 |
| V46 | 13.06802352 | 2.339468 | 5.830240993 | 3237.09050291249 | 1240.09050291249 |
| V47 | 13.10167579 | 2.341809 | 5.836075052 | 3263.37735127873 | 1266.37735127873 |
| V48 | 13.13541472 | 2.34415 | 5.841909111 | 3289.87766244326 | 1292.87766244326 |
| V49 | 13.16924054 | 2.346491 | 5.84774317 | 3316.59316983434 | 1319.59316983434 |
| V50 | 13.20315346 | 2.348832 | 5.853577229 | 3343.52562095658 | 1346.52562095658 |
| V51 | 13.23715371 | 2.351173 | 5.859411288 | 3370.67677750524 | 1373.67677750524 |

From the common key, the pseudonyms of the voters are created as follows:

$$PVi = Yi CKi \text{ mod } P;$$

Table II: Pseudonymous of voters V_i are generated

| Voter V_i | Voter public key Y_i | Common key CK_i | $Y = POW(Y_i, CK_i)$ | Pseudonymous of voters $PV_i = MOD(Y, CK_i)$ |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--|
| V1 | 11.63985497 | 11.63985496526 | 2.555495398205E+12 | 11.63985496526 |
| V2 | 11.66982947 | 11.66982947063 | 2.834398201210E+12 | 11.66982947063 |
| V3 | 11.69988117 | 11.69988116520 | 3.144821759275E+12 | 11.69988116520 |
| V4 | 11.73001025 | 11.73001024773 | 3.490447342337E+12 | 11.73001024773 |
| V5 | 11.76021692 | 11.76021691751 | 3.875399694635E+12 | 11.76021691751 |
| V6 | 11.79050137 | 11.79050137435 | 4.304302054426E+12 | 11.79050137435 |
| V7 | 11.82086382 | 11.82086381854 | 4.782338193677E+12 | 11.82086381854 |
| V8 | 11.85130445 | 11.85130445094 | 5.315322397711E+12 | 11.85130445094 |
| V9 | 11.88182347 | 11.88182347287 | 5.909778428473E+12 | 11.88182347287 |
| V10 | 11.91242109 | 11.91242108621 | 6.573028655800E+12 | 11.91242108621 |
| V11 | 11.94309749 | 11.94309749335 | 7.313294701311E+12 | 11.94309749335 |
| V12 | 11.9738529 | 11.97385289718 | 8.139811121878E+12 | 11.97385289718 |
| V13 | 12.0046875 | 12.00468750115 | 9.062953867415E+12 | 12.00468750115 |
| V14 | 12.03560151 | 12.03560150919 | 1.009438548440E+13 | 12.03560150919 |
| V15 | 12.06659513 | 12.06659512580 | 1.124721930636E+13 | 12.06659512580 |
| V16 | 12.09766856 | 12.09766855597 | 1.253620518023E+13 | 12.09766855597 |
| V17 | 12.12882201 | 12.12882200524 | 1.397793962822E+13 | 12.12882200524 |
| V18 | 12.16005568 | 12.16005567968 | 1.559110374546E+13 | 12.16005567968 |
| V19 | 12.19136979 | 12.19136978586 | 1.739673259051E+13 | 12.19136978586 |
| V20 | 12.22276453 | 12.22276453092 | 1.941852034773E+13 | 12.22276453092 |
| V21 | 12.25424012 | 12.25424012252 | 2.168316613666E+13 | 12.25424012252 |
| V22 | 12.28579677 | 12.28579676885 | 2.422076602461E+13 | 12.28579676885 |
| V23 | 12.31743468 | 12.31743467864 | 2.706525757741E+13 | 12.31743467864 |
| V24 | 12.34915406 | 12.34915406115 | 3.025492417359E+13 | 12.34915406115 |
| V25 | 12.38095513 | 12.38095512619 | 3.383296732584E+13 | 12.38095512619 |
| V26 | 12.41283808 | 12.41283808410 | 3.784815641910E+13 | 12.41283808410 |
| V27 | 12.44480315 | 12.44480314578 | 4.235556660932E+13 | 12.44480314578 |
| V28 | 12.47685052 | 12.47685052266 | 4.741741715458E+13 | 12.47685052266 |
| V29 | 12.50898043 | 12.50898042670 | 5.310402420151E+13 | 12.50898042670 |
| V30 | 12.54119307 | 12.54119307043 | 5.949488405576E+13 | 12.54119307043 |
| V31 | 12.57348867 | 12.57348866691 | 6.667990526626E+13 | 12.57348866691 |
| V32 | 12.60586743 | 12.60586742977 | 7.476081049077E+13 | 12.60586742977 |
| V33 | 12.63832957 | 12.63832957318 | 8.385273213763E+13 | 12.63832957318 |
| V34 | 12.67087531 | 12.67087531184 | 9.408602925294E+13 | 12.67087531184 |
| V35 | 12.70350486 | 12.70350486103 | 1.056083571120E+14 | 12.70350486103 |
| V36 | 12.73621844 | 12.73621843658 | 1.185870255560E+14 | 12.73621843658 |
| V37 | 12.76901625 | 12.76901625486 | 1.332116873814E+14 | 12.76901625486 |
| V38 | 12.80189853 | 12.80189853282 | 1.496974041414E+14 | 12.80189853282 |
| V39 | 12.83486549 | 12.83486548796 | 1.682881436815E+14 | 12.83486548796 |
| V40 | 12.86791734 | 12.86791733832 | 1.892607717376E+14 | 12.86791733832 |
| V41 | 12.9010543 | 12.90105430254 | 2.129296091421E+14 | 12.90105430254 |
| V42 | 12.9342766 | 12.93427659979 | 2.396516367946E+14 | 12.93427659979 |
| V43 | 12.96758445 | 12.96758444981 | 2.698324427722E+14 | 12.96758444981 |
| V44 | 13.00097807 | 13.00097807292 | 3.039330200383E+14 | 13.00097807292 |

| | | | | |
|-----|-------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| V45 | 13.03445769 | 13.03445769001 | 3.424775394374E+14 | 13.03445769001 |
| V46 | 13.06802352 | 13.06802352251 | 3.860622413772E+14 | 13.06802352251 |
| V47 | 13.10167579 | 13.10167579245 | 4.353656111910E+14 | 13.10167579245 |
| V48 | 13.13541472 | 13.13541472242 | 4.911600280850E+14 | 13.13541472242 |
| V49 | 13.16924054 | 13.16924053558 | 5.543251063376E+14 | 13.16924053558 |
| V50 | 13.20315346 | 13.20315345566 | 6.258629806358E+14 | 13.20315345566 |
| V51 | 13.23715371 | 13.23715370699 | 7.069158258133E+14 | 13.23715370699 |

IV. SECURITY AND PRIVACY ANALYSIS OF THE ALGORITHMS

Electronic voting system is nothing but to maintain the huge digital database any one change, modify, copy, the data very easily from the public communication channel. Therefore, it will need to satisfy the basic requirement of voting system in order to maintain the security and privacy. Here discuss the main achievements of the propose algorithms and most of the requirements like legal, political, social and economical feasibility are satisfied.

- a. Voter’s privacy can easily be satisfied due to the issue of PVi to registered vote by providing the blind signature of election authority & there is not any link between PVi & registered ID.
- b. Voter had already been registered & provided a private key Xi & public Yi uniquely with bio-matrix information to avoid multiple or duplicate registration of voter by linking with central database CDB. Hence, this can prove the voter’s eligibility & identity and the uniqueness of the voter.
- c. Voter and any political party can check the every vote with individually & in a universally. Therefore, it can satisfy the accuracy of EVS and faith to the system.
- d. There is no any chance of vote buying / selling. Since privacy of votes, are maintain and only last casting of vote will be counted in a final tally and voting is possible in a voting centre and election period only.
- e. Any fraud or modification of single vote or tapping of information can be deleting. Hence system is Robust & verifiable at any intermediate time.

While carefully observing the security needs of the system, at all levels in the voting process, the design of the system also caters for a number of important functional and nonfunctional requirements, which are sufficiently addressed in every facet of system design that entail hardware, software, and the underlying encryption and network infrastructure.

V. CONCLUSION

Due to the importance of the election process, it is now necessary to develop a system for voters electronically in a secure manner by using electronic voting system (e-election), this led to finding a way to do the guarantee to fulfill the requirements. Electronic voting system can developed in such a way to achieve requirements of election process with a relative high degree of security and accuracy against the preserving the privacy of the voters.

VI. RERENCES

- [1]. Antonyan, T.; Davtyan, S.; Kentros, S.; Kiayias, A.; Michel, L.; Nicolaou, N.; Russell, A.; Shvartsman, A.A.; “State-Wide Elections, Optical Scan Voting Systems, and the Pursuit of Integrity “ , Information Forensics and Security, IEEE Journals , Transactions on Volume: 4 , Issue: 4 , Part: 1, Page(s): 597 – 610.
- [2]. Fauzia, N.; Dey, T.; Bhuiyan, I; Rahman,M.S.;“An efficient implementation of electronic election system “, IEEE, 10th international conference on Computer and information technology, 2007. ICCIT- 2007, Page(s): 1 – 6.
- [3]. Weldemariam, K.; Villafiorita, A.; Mattioli, A.; “Experiments and data analysis of electronic voting system”, Fourth IEEE International Conference on Risks and Security of Internet and Systems (CRISIS), 2009, Page(s): 105 – 112.
- [4]. Seo-II Kang and Im-Yeong Lee, “A Study on the Electronic Voting System using blind Signature for Anonymity”, Hybrid Information Technology, 2006. ICHIT’06. Vol 2. International Conference on Volume 2, Nov. 2006 Page(s): 660 – 663.
- [5]. Athanassios Kosmopoulos,”Aspects of regulatory and legal implementations on e-Voting”,s. wang et al.(Eds):ER workshop 2004. LNCS 3289, pp. 589-600, 2004.
- [6]. J W Bryans, B Littlewood, P Y A Ryan, L Strigini,” E-voting: Dependability Requirements and Design for Dependability”, Availability, Reliability and Security, 2006. ARES 2006. The First International Conference on 20-22 April 2006 Page(s):8 pp.
- [7]. Kiayias, A.; Korman, M.; Walluck, D.; “An Internet Voting System Supporting User Privacy”, Computer Security Applications Conference, 2006. ACSAC ’06. 22nd Annual Dec. 2006 Page(s):165 – 174.
- [8]. Anthony Watson, Vincent Cordonnier , “Information Technology Improves Most of the Democratic Voting Processes ” Professor, Edith Cowan University - Perth, Australia , Professor, UniversitC des Sciences et Technologies de Lille – France , 1529-4188/01, 2001 IEEE ,page 388-393.
- [9]. Jared Karro and Jie Wang “Towards a Practical, Secure, and Very Large Scale Online Election”, Computer Security Applications Conference, 1999. (ACSAC ’99) Proceedings. 15th Annual 6-10 Dec. 1999 Page(s):161 – 169.
- [10]. Tadayoshi Kohno, Adam Stubblefield, Aviel D. Rubin, Dan S. Wallach, “Analysis of an Electronic Voting System”,

- Security and Privacy, 2004. Proceedings. 2004 IEEE Symposium on 9-12 May 2004 Page(s):27 – 40.
- [11]. Lorrie Faith Cranor, Ron K. Cytron, “Sensus: A Security-Conscious Electronic Polling System for the Internet”, Public Policy Research AT&T Labs Research, 1060-3425197, 1997 IEEE, pp 561-570.